	Roma to Produce Blood Defendants	6/18/10
192.	Motion to Require Class Reps to Produce Blood Defendants Motion to Certify Questions of	
	Samples and Alternative Motion to Grand Law to the Supreme Court of Appeals & Memo in	
		6/21/10
193.	Pagnonse to the Class Reps Fillal Troposed	
		7/14/10
194.	Notices and Class Certification Flan Motion Admission Pro Hac Vice Paul J. Napoli, Marc J. Plaintiffs	
	Bern, and Tate J. Kunkle Motion to Prohibit, Exclude and Strike the Opinion of Plaintiffs Motion to Prohibit, Exclude and Strike the Opinion of Plaintiffs	7/15/10
195.		7/16/10
	Di CE-7 Motion to Philliph. Datiado and	7/16/10
196.	Response to Plaintins Motion to Trombay, Strike the Opinion of Evidence and Testimony of Philip	
	S. Guzelian, M.D. Reply to Defendants Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Plaintiffs	7/19/10
197.	Reply to Defendants Response to Hamiltonian Prohibit, Exclude and Strike the Opinion of Evidence	
	Prohibit, Exclude and Strike the Opinion of Prohibit and Prohibit an	
	and Testimony of Philip S. Guzelian, M.D. and Testimony of Philip S. Guzelian, M.D. Plaintiffs	7/26/10
198.	and Testimony of Phinip 3. Guzenas, serious Pro Plaintiffs Class Counsel's Corrected Motion for Admission Pro Plaintiffs	
	Hac Vice of Napoli, Bern and Kunkle Hac Vice of Napoli, Bern and Kunkle Defendant's Motion to Plaintiffs	7/27/10
199.	Response in Opposition to Detendant's Market	
		- 8-9-10
200.	C. I I Eim Of I Iron W. Falk, I DDC und	
	Opposition of the Law Fifth of Croan & Factorial of their Clients to Motions Pro Hac Vice filed by Out of Urban	
		8-10-10
201	1 Opposing 1988 Counsons	
201	Memorandum Opposing Class Memorandum Opposing Class Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Napoli, Bern and	
		8-11-10
202		
202	Tithon & Falk Pli C and their Chefts to Ittems	
		8-18-10
203	Degrange to Defendants' Molloll to Require	0 10 13
203		
	Representative to Floudece Bissel Alternative Motion to Certify Questions of Law to the	
	0 / F A mm 00 C OT W V	8-20-10
	Objections to Defendants Proposed Order Statutes	8-20-10
204		8-23-10
	- Totanganic (1000000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8-23-10
20	Clong Colingers Collection 112012	
	TI V/100 OF NADOU DELI GILL TRUING	8/27/10
	- I Comport of Delegically Motion	8/2//10
20		
	1 O WARD OF A DISCUSSION AND A DISCUSSIO	- 12 14 0
	Law to the Supreme Court of Appears of Meson of Plaintiffs O7. Surreply to Defendants Reply Memo in Support of Plaintiffs O7. Class Representatives to	9/2/10
20	7. Surreply to Defendants Reply William Bappen	
	O7. Surreply to Defendants Repris Tropy Defendants Motion to Require Class Representatives to	
	Produce Blood Samplings	

	otion to Allow Defendants to Conduct Serum Dioxin	Defendants	9/7/10
208. Mo	otion to Allow Defendants to Conduct Samplees		
Blo	ood Tests of Plaintiffs' Class Soil and Dust Samplees	Defendants	9/16/10
209. Mo	otion for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Thomas M.		
		Plaintiffs	9/23/10
	Proclude Ilrhan & Falk Filli Hom	Plainuits	7,23,10
			2 (2 7 / 1 0
Fi	esponse of Urban producing list of individuals who no	Urban & Falk	9/27/10
211. Re	esponse of Urban producing list of marvacent them		
		Plaintiffs	10/13/10
	in ()phosilioli to Delendants	1 1411111111	
	A Harri Hatanganis III (Anique Salara		
10.	notion to Allow Defendants to Class Soil and Dust ioxin Blood Tests of Plaintiffs' Class Soil and Dust		
i			10/21/10
	amplees Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition Allow Defendants to Conduct	Defendants	10/21/10
213. D	Defendants' Reply to Plaintins Responded to Conduct Defendants' Motion to Allow Defendants to Conduct Defendants' Motion to Allow Defendants' Motion to Motion to Motion to Motion to Motion to Motion		
to	Defendants' Motion to Allow Defendants to Class		
S	erum/Blood Dioxin Blood Tests of Trainering		
1	170 / 0	Plaintiffs	10/26/10
	Telephone Telephone Reply to Flaminis	I '	
1.1	Response in Opposition to Defendant's Theorem Server Blood Tests of Defendants to Conduct Serum Dioxin Blood Tests of		
1	Defendants to Conduct Solution - Samples		
I	Plaintiffs' Class Soil and Dust Samplees Plaintiffs' Class Management	Defendant	11/2/10
215. I	Plaintiffs' Class Soll and Dust Sumpress Defendants' Motion to Modify the Case Management		
ľ	_ 1		11/8/10
216.	Order Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude the Proposed Expert	1 "	
	The state of the s	1	
1.	Dh I) Philin (ill/chall, M.D., Jane	' L	
1	- 1 TT DL I GEORGE WAIGUIAUU, I II.D.;	t .	
	Patterson, Jr., Ph.D., Timothy Saxe, M.D., and Timothy	7	
	Patterson, J., In.D., Innous		1.1/0/10
	Starr, Ph.D. Stailes Opinion Evidence o	f Plaintiff	11/8/10
217.	Starr, Ph.D. Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Opinion Evidence o		
	Defendants' Expert, Jay Goldman Defendants' Expert, Jay Goldman Testimon	y Plaintiff	11/8/10
218.	Ti: cc : Mation to Exclude (Millions and Tostimos	·	
210.	of Defendants' Experts, Christopher R. Arrington, P.E	·,	
	1Dles Smith Ph I)		11/8/10
210	Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Opinion Evidence	of Plaintiff	11/0/10
219.	- a 1 / 2 E-most John Henshaw		11/0/10
	Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude Defendants' Expert, Franchise Certa	k Plaintiff	11/8/10
220.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude Defendants 227	in	
	B. Friedman, or, in the Alternative, to Exclude Certa		
	Land Frank R Friedman		11/8/10
221.	The sign to Exclude (million and resulting)	1	
221.	Defendants' experts Ray K. Forrester and Franchischer Franchischer Franchischer Plaintiffs' Motion to Excitate opposition of the Excitate opposition opposition of the Excitate opposition opposit	nK	
	the same of the sa		11/0/16
	Woodard Motion in Limine on Behalf of Defendants to Exclude A Tartimony of Plaintif	or Defendant	11/8/10
222.	Motion in Limine on Benail of Defendants to Extended Limit the Expert Opinions and Testimony of Plaintif	fs'	
	Limit the Expert Opinions and Testimony of Lianter		
	Expert Charles L. Werntz, D.O.	1	

	Motion in Limine to Exclude or Limit Testimony of D	efendant	11/8/10
223.	Motion in Limine to Exclude of Elimit Tossians		
	Robert J. Carr	efendant	11/8/10
224.	Motion in Limine on Behalf of Defendants to Entertain		_
	Plaintiffs' Expert, Bruce Bell	Defendant	11/8/10
225.	Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Michael J. D.	Cichaan	
Į.	*** 1 DLD	Defendant	11/8/10
226.	Timine on Rehalf of Defendants to Exclude of	Jelendam	11/0/10
220.	Limit the Opinions and Testimony of Plaintiffs' Expert,		
	D. Carrier Dh.D.	D. C domt	11/8/10
227.	Motion in Limine on Behalf of Defendants to Exclude	Defendant	11/0/10
221.	Plaintiffs' Expert, William M. Auberle	2 0 1	11/12/10
220	Supplement to Defendants' Motion to Modify I	Defendants	11/12/10
228.	a 1 1 1 in a Order		11/10/10
	Discussion to Defendants' Proposed Procedure	Plaintiffs	11/12/10
229.	for providing split blood samples dated 11/11/10 and		
	and the relief		
	emergency request for relief Response to Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants'	Defendants	11/15/10
230.	Response to Plaintil's Objection to Detain Proposed Procedure for providing split blood samples		
	Proposed Procedure for providing spirit blood starting		
	dated 11/11/10 and emergency request for relief	Defendants	11/22/10
231.	Description (MOIIII) DAVIGO Opinion		
	Testimony of Defendants' Experts Ray K. Forrester and		
	Dr. Franklin Woodard	Defendants	11/22/10
232.	Response to Plaintiffs' motion to Strike Opinion	Deletion	
	Defendants' Expert John Heilshaw	Defendants	11/22/10
233.	Description to Exclude Defendants	Detendants	
200.	Frank Friedman, or, in the Alternative, to		
	To de Cortain Testimony from Frank Friedinan	Defendants	11/22/10
234.	Disintiffs' Motion to Exclude the Floposed	Defendants	11/22/10
۷,۶۳۰	Expert Opinion Evidence of Defendants Retained		
	a si a a Cincarion Dhall Af al.		11/22/10
225	Districtiffs, Motion to Exclude Upillions and	Defendants	11/22/1
235	m / at I heigtonner Allingion and Douglas		11/00/1
026	TO DI L'ALLES MADTION III MILINU CONTROLL	Defendants	11/22/1
236	Defendants' Expert Jay Goldfiall		11/00/1
	Desponse in ()phosition to Determants	Plaintiffs	11/22/1
237	Timing to Evelude of Limit the Ophinons and		
	Testimony of Plaintiffs' Experts, Bell, Auberle, Wade,		
	Testimony of Plaintins Experts, Ben, 120		·
	Sawyer, Werntz, Carr Class Counsel's Proposed Order	Defendants	11/24/1
238	Sawyer, Werniz, Carr B. Memo Objecting to Class Counsel's Proposed Order B. Memo Objecting to Class Counsel's Proposed Order	1	
	Denying Motion to Allow Defendants to Conduct Serum		
	Dioxin Blood Tests of Plaintiffs' Class Soil and Dust		
	Samplees Mation in Limine to	Defendants	12/6/10
239	9. Reply to Plaintiffs' Response to Motion in Limine to Exclude or Limit The Expert Opinions and Testimony of	1	
	E-clude or Limit The Expert Opinions and Testimony of	L	
	Plaintiffs' Expert Charles L. Werntz, D.O.	1	

240. I	The interfer Decounce in Children in the interfer	Defendants	12/6/10
	Detail of Defendants to Exclude of Limit me		
(Dimine on Benair of Defendance to Expert, William Opinions and Testimony of Plaintiffs' Expert, William		
	R. Sawyer, Ph.D.	Defendants	12/6/10
241.	- 1 Districted December 11) Descriptions		
	Limine to Exclude or Limit Testimony of Robert J. Carr	Defendants	12/6/10
242.	Reply to Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants Motion In		
	Reply to Plaintiffs' Response to Expert William M. Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Expert William M.		
	Auberle	Defendants	12/6/10
243.	Auberle Reply to Plaintiffs' Response to Motion in Limine to		
	Exclude Testimony of Michael J. Wade, Ph.D.	Defendants	12/6/10
244.	Reply Memorandum in support of Motion in Limine to		
	Reply Memorandum in support of instance of Exclude Certain Proposed Opinion Testimony of		
	Plaintiffs' Expert, Bruce Bell Plaintiffs' Expert, Bruce Bell Analyzing	Defendants	12/6/10
245.	Memorandum of Law Identifying and Analyzing Binding Precedent of the West Virginia Supreme Court		
	Binding Precedent of the West Virginia Supremoser of Appeals on the Admission and Exclusion of Expert		
	of Appeals on the Admission and Exercision		
	Scientific Evidence Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to	Plaintiffs	12/6/10
246.	Response in Opposition to Defendants		
	Modify the CMO Reply to Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to	Plaintiffs	12/6/10
247.	Reply to Defendants' Response to Hamming Translation		
	Exclude Defendants' Experts, Friedman Amicus Brief in Support of Class Counsel's Opposition	Urban	- 12/6/10
248.	Amicus Brief in Support of Class Counsel's Opposition	Plaintiffs	
	to Defendants' Motion to Modify The CMO Combined Response to Amicus Brief in Support of	Defendants	12/10/10
249.	Combined Response to Afficus Brief in Class Counsel's Opposition and Plaintiffs' Response in		
	Class Counsel's Opposition and Fundamental Counsel's Opposition of Counsel's Opposition and Fundamental Counsel's Opposition and Counsel and Co		
	Opposition to Motion to Modify the CMO Corrected page 6 to Defendants' Reply Memo in Support	Defendants	12/10/10
250.	of Motion in Limine to Exclude Certain Proposed		
	of Motion in Limite to Exclude Column 1		
	Opinion Testimony of Bruce Bell Emergency Motion to Modify paragraphs 1 and 2 of	Defendants	12/10/10
251.	Emergency Motion to Wouldy puragraphs		
	12/17/10 Revised CMO Notice of Motion Seeking a Declaration that Evidence	Defendants	12/22/10
252.	Testing Dlood Samples IS Autilissible		
	TO C leasts Limorgan CV VIIII III IV MUULI	Plaintiffs	12/22/10
253	paragraphs 1 and 2 of 12/17/10 Revised CMO		
		Defendants	12/23/1
254	Motion Seeking a Decidation that Britishle		
	from Testing Blood Samples is Admissible Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude Evidence of Class	s Plaintiffs	12/23/1
255	Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude Evidence		
	Representatives Blood Dioxin Levels at trial Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to	Plaintiffs	1/3/11
256	Memorandum in Support of Hamilia Hotel		
	Exclude Evidence of Class Representatives' Blood		
	Dioxin Levels.		

	Symport of Defendants' Motion Defendants	1/3/11
257.	Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion Defendants	
	Seeking a Declaration that Evidence 2 straining and Samples of the Class Representatives and Testing Blood Samples of the Class Representatives and Admissible	
	other Class Members Is Relevant and Admissible	
į	(Exhibits & Case law) Output Defendants	1/14/11
258.	1 - F Lavy ()phoemo Flamillia ivious	
	Exclude Evidence of Class Representatives	
		1/18/11
259.	The state of the s	
	Samples of the Class Representatives and Other Class	
		1/18/11
260.	- Los D 1 La Notondanie MEIIIIIIIIIIIII 01	-
	Diginfiffe MOHOH W LACING Elizabeth	
	Class Representatives' Blood Dioxin Levels at The Plaintiffs	1/20/11
261.	Plaintiffs Motion to Strike	1/25/11
262.	Defendants' Response Memorandum of Law III	
202.	Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs	1/28/11
263.	Motion to Strike Forrester	
200.		2/4/11
264.	Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude Suppl. Report	
204.	and Opinions of Ray Forrester	
		2/9/11
265	- a g a ludgment and MEIIIO III Dupport	2/9/11
$\frac{265}{266}$	To 1 Defendante Response 10 Flamulis William	2/3/11
200		2/10/11
267	This wife Niction of Withdrawal OI Flaming Modern	2,10,11
267	1 C 1 Demont and (minimis () Nav 1 offostor	2/21/11
265	. c D Mal Indoment on the fledulies as to	2/21/11
268	Motion for Partial Judgment on the Francisco Damages Medical Monitoring Class' Claim for Punitive Damages	
	1 man in Compost	2/28/11
2.6	C Common Indoment as 10 the Claims of Im	2/20/11
269	Property Class Members Who Own Non-Residential	
	136	3/3/11
	C Comment as to Claims of the	3/3/11
27	O. Motion for Summary Judgment as Property Class for Lack of Evidence Regarding Property	
į		2/2/11
	Value (Caselaw) 1. Flexsys' Motion for Summary Judgment and Memo in Defendants	3/3/11
27		0/44/11
	Support of. 2. Suppl. Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs, Expert, Defendants	3/11/11
27		
	William Auberle with Case Law William Auberle with Case Law Defendant	s 3/11/11
27	William Auberle with Case Law 73. Suppl. Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs, Expert, Defendant	
		s 3/11/11
2	74 Suppl. Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintills, Expert,	
	William Sawyer with Case Law	

	S. S. Wary Judgment on Class I	Defendants	3/30/11
275.	Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Class I		
	Members Claims of the Medical Monitoring Who Fall		
1	Outside of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Supplemental Control of Sawyer Dose Groups and Memo in Sawyer Dose Groups and		
		Defendants	3/31/11
276.	for Dortiol Simmary Judgmont on	Jerondanie	
270.	1 Claims for Medical Mullioning		
	Exposure Occurred Outside Certain Geographic		
		D1 : .: CC	4/1/11
	Demonso in Opposition to Detendants	Plaintiffs	4/1/11
277.	. 6 15 4:-1 (111000000000000000000000000000000000		
	Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of as to the Medical Monitoring Class Claim for Punitive		
	Damages Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to Defendants' I have to the Claims of the	Plaintiffs	4/1/11
278.	Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to Dams of the		
	C Comment Middinelli AS to the Clarities		
		Plaintiffs	4/1/11
279.	Demongo in Opposition to Defendants	• ••••	
	Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of all Property Class Members who own non-residential		
		Plaintiffs	4/1/11
280.	Desponse in Opposition to the	Planinis	1, 2, 2 -
280.	Plaintiffs Combined Response in opposition Summary Judgment Motions of Akzo Nobel Chemicals		
		1.00	- 4/1/11
	B: C: Comport of Class Colliser's Opposition	Plaintiffs	- 4/1/11
281.	Amicus Brief in Support of Class Country to Defendants' Akzo Nobel Chemical's Motion for	Urban	
	to Defendants ARZO Novel Character		
	Summary Judgment Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Date for Filing Daubert Retterson and Guzelian	Plaintiffs	4/11/11
282	Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Date for Thing and Guzelian		
	Styled motions regarding experts Patterson and Guzelian		
	11 Introduct AVDER (IEU/UNIU/UN)		4/15/11
283	D. C. Janta, Motion to Strike the Shall Allicavit of	D 5225	
200	- 1 to Evolude all Related Testimony		4/15/11
284	of Detendants William Junimian	1	
202	Tudement as to the Claims of all Troporty		
	TYPE O THE NOR PACEAGENIAL FRANCES		4/15/11
	Class Counsel's Response Regarding Tike	Defendants	4/13/11
285			4/15/11
	- F Notandante William Ioi I di vide	1 Defendants	4/15/11
28	Judgment on the Pleadings as to the Medical Monitoring	g	
	Judgment on the Pleadings as to the Meantain		
	Class' Claim for Punitive Damages	o Defendants	4/15/11
28	Disinfifte Response in Opposition	-	
	- a 1 3 Mation for Summary Judgmont as	e	
	Claims of the Property Class for Lack of Evident		
	1 1/6/220		4/15/11
20	Donly to Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to Flexible	/S Descridants	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
20	- c 1 / 3 Mation for Similar Villention		4/15/11
	D 1-10 Drighting from All Allotticys Oth	er Defendants	7/13/11
28	89. Motion to Preclude Briefing from The Class Counsel		
	Than Class Counsel		

		Plaintiffs	4/18/11
]	Maldonado, Ph.D.	Plaintiffs	4/18/11
291.	Duralised Detence EXTERIS HOLL		
i .	Orinions with respect to the meaning, concerness and		
1	Clare and ramilalions	Plaintiffs	4/18/11
	1 1 Compart Action (NIV ()) Collaboration	Flammins	
	of dioxin and comparisons of the deviation of the levels samples to such background levels based on their samples to such background levels based on their		
	deference to Patterson for the derivation of the levels		
	deference to Patterson for the derivation of Limit Certain	Plaintiffs	4/18/11
293.	Class Counsel's Motion in Limine to Limit Certain Class Counsel's Motion in Limine to Limit Certain		
	TO 1 the Hatanianis number of		
	Experts ID by the Defendants and NHANES serum lipid concentration level results as a		
ļ		Defendants	4/21/11
204	C Commony hidoment as to Certain Tropolog	Detellualits	
294.	Motion for Summary Judgment as Class Members Based on Deed Convenants and		
			4/21/11
	Motion in Limine to Preclude Disparaging Comments	Defendants	4/21/11
295.	Motion in Limine to Freetude Disputage 5		
	About Defendants Expert and Fact Witnesses About Defendants Expert and Fact Witnesses to the Class	Defendants	4/21/11
296.	About Defendants Expert and Fact Motion in Limine to Preclude References to the Class		
_, _,			5/2/11
297.	Class Counsels Motion in Limite to	ł	
251.	Response Opposing Class Counsels Medical Limit Certain Experts (Lamb, Starr, Giesy, Maldonado, Limit Certain Experts (Lamb, Starr, Giesy, Maldonado, Describing NHANES Serum		
	Forrester, Ginevan) Iron Describing Translation Lipid Concentration Level Results as a range of normals		5/2/11
	T Digentiffe, Minimi 10 11001000	1	3/2/11
298.			
	Experts From Offering Opinions Williams Meaning, Correctness And/Or Interpretations Of Laws	S	
	Meaning, Correctness And/Or Interpretations		
	1 := 1 :		5/2/11
299	Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude Defendants	Bollows	
<i>⊑</i>			5/2/11
200	1 Litta motion in Cacingo onpos	- 1	0,
300			
	comparisons of the available plantation of their deference to D	r.	
	comparisons of the available plantiffication of the background levels based on their deference to D		
			5/2/11
30	Conception to determine the	er	
	to Strike the Sham Amuavit and Report of	111	
	The state of the s		- 5/2/11
	The Timber & Falk (Fall) to Delendan	ts' Plaintiffs	- 1 2/2/11
30	2. Opposition to Orban & Fair Foundation to Preclude Briefing from all Attorneys oth	er Urban	
	Motion to Preclude Diffiling from an arrangement		
1	than Counsel		

303. Pla		Plaintiffs	5/3/11
Info	ormation Pertaining to the Plaintiffs Response to the exsys and Solutia Defendants Motions for Summary		
		Defendants	5/4/11
the	ply Memo in Support of Defendants World to Sham Affidavit and Report to Robert Carr and to		
, ,	a to the last of Tootim ONV	Plaintiffs	5/4/11
305. Pla	aintiffs' Motion to Reopen Discovery for the Emitted	1 141111111	
1 -	to to Europent for any and all Selvice at any time	Plaintiffs	5/6/11
E	otion to Exploient for any and otion to Exclude the Opinions of the Defendants' appert Donald Patterson		
٠. ا	1 1 and affidavit of Sawver)	Plaintiffs	5/8/11
307. M	otion Corrected to Exclude the Opinions of the	1 10111111111	
	contact of Convier	Plaintiff	5/9/11
172	aintiffs' Motion in Limine on Behalf of Plaintiffs to exclude or Limit the Opinions and Testimony of	•	
D	efendants' Expert, Philip S. Guzelian, M.D.	Plaintiff	5/9/11
309. P	laintiffs' Reply to Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Intion to Exclude Defendants' Expert, George		
,	Cliendo PHD	Plaintiff	5/9/11
310. P	laintiffs' Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine to Exclude or Limit the Expert Opinions and Testimony of Plaintiffs' Expert Charles L.		
1		1	5/9/11
311. F	Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to Defendants Supplemental Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion in Limine on Behalf of Defendants to Exclude or Limit the Opinions and Testimony of Plaintiffs' Expert.	,	
1	William R. Sawyer, PH.D.	Plaintiff	5/9/11
,	William R. Sawyer, FH.D. Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants Response to Exclude Defense Experts Ray K. Forrester and Franklin Woodard	1	
212	Ph.D. Plaintiffs' Notice of Withdrawal of Motion to Strike the	e Plaintiff	5/10/11
	Opinion Evidence of Defendants' Expert Jay Goldman	e Plaintiff	5/10/11
314.	Plaintiffs' Response to Supplement to Motion in Limin on Behalf of Defendants to Exclude Plaintiffs' Experi	. 1	
	William M. Auberle Defendante' Response Opposin	g Plaintiff	5/10/11
315.	Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants' Response Opposin Class Counsel Motion in Limine to Limit Certai Experts Identified by the Defendants from Describin NHANES Serum Lipid Concentration Level Results as	n l	
	"Range of Normals"		

		D1 : 4:CC	5/10/11
216 Pla		Plaintiff	3/10/11
316. Pla	intiffs' Reply to Defendants' Response to Strike Opinion Evidence of Defendants'		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T 1 Tlanchary	1.00	5/10/11
	1 4- Defendants' Response to Flaminis	Plaintiff	5/10/11
	Dulan Delone		
	n		
3	e "There's and Collinalisons of ""		
Co	vailable Plaintiffs Samples to Such Background Levels		
Av	vailable Plaintiffs Samples to Such Bueng.		
Ba	ised on Their Difference to Dr. Tutterson		
De	erivation of the Levels	Plaintiff	5/10/11
318. Pla	aintiffs' Reply to Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs'		
M	otion to Exclude Opinions and Testimony of		
De	efendants Experts Christopher R. Allington, 1.2.,		
1 -	1 Conith Dh D	Plaintiff	5/10/11
	The state of the Defendants' Response to Flaminis	1 141111111	
1	n 1 1. Detende Hyneris Hull Univinia		
10	winiong with Respect to the Meaning, Corrections		
i i	1/ T /	Defendant	5/10/11
	1 1 1 Motion in limine 10 Ficting Class	Defendant	3/10/11
	offering Evidence of Arguillelli ulai Ally		
	Counsel from Offering Evidence of Fagure 1987 or Dioxin Exposure - No Matter How Small - Is Harmful or		
<u> </u>	· 3 f 1' 1 N / 0 = 1 f O = 110 f		5/10/11
	1 1 1 Notion for Summary Judgillelli Regarding	Defendant	5/10/11
321. L	Request for Plasma Dioxin Testing as a Component of		
\ P	Request for Plasma Dioxin Testing as a series		
N	Medical Monitoring Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants' Response Opposing	Plaintiff	5/10/11
322. F	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants Response of Free E		
	Class Counsel's Motion in Limine to Limit Certain		
I	Experts Identified by the Defendants from Describing		
1	NHANES Serum Lipid Concentration Level Results as a		
1 ,	The second of th		5/13/11
222	Motion for Summary Judgment on Flaminis Sure	Defendants	
323.	Liability Claim and Memo in Support		
	Glas Counsel to ID Proposed Rule	e Defendants	5/13/11
324.	Motion to Require Class Counsel to ID Proposed Rul		
	404(b) Evidence	n Monsanto	5/16/11
325.	Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition		
į į	a c 1 4-2 Motion in 1 mine 10 Exclude of Dim	10 00	
	the Expert Opinions and Testimony of Plaintiffs' Expe		
	C1 1 337		5/16/11
326.	Denly to Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition	i i	3,13,1
)20.	n c 1 44 Suppl Memo of Law III Support	JI COLLET	
	A distance in Limine to Exclude of Little up opinions at	na	
	f nlointiffe' evnett William Dawyci		5/19/1
1	Motion in Limine to Sequester Witnesses during Trial	Monsanto	3/17/1

	Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment as to Mo	onsanto	5/20/11
328. I	Defendants' Motion for Partial Sufficiently stages of Request to Recover Medical Monitoring Expenses for		
1	Discourse	onsanto	5/23/11
	Sundents' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 111	onsamo	5/25/11
	and Contractor Deletise	onsanto	5/23/11
		onsamo	3/23/11
١,	Modical Monitoring Class Melhoers Whose	!	
	Diovin Levels Have Not Been Tested	onsanto	5/23/11
221	Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on	Olisanio	3,23, = -
22 - 1	Treenass (181M)	lonsanto	5/23/11
332.	Plaintiffs' Actionable Trespass Claim Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Class M	Misano	3,
		Íonsanto	5/23/11
	Demance to Motion in Limine on Bellan 11.	1011521110	
555.	a bi ' titta ta byciiide di Uniiit uio Opinii		
t	on C 14m2 Lynart Phillip of Chicolium	Monsanto	5/23/11
334.	to Diginfiffe (Collected) Michigan	TOHSamo	
334.	Defendants' Response to Flaminis (Correction of Defendants' Expert, Donald to Exclude the Opinions of Defendants' Expert, Donald		
		Monsanto	5/25/11
335.	1 CV of David Lash III Support of L	VIOIISAIITO	
555.	"Defendants' Response to Plaintills Wouldn't District		
	- c 1 4-2 E-most (teorge Maillollaud, I III-D)	Monsanto	5/25/11
336.	a 1 1 1 Mation for Summary Hilly Holli Oli Clarities	VIOIISAIITO	
330.	of Medical Monitoring Class Members 110 10 ass		
	n 1 - 1 hr. Defendants	Monsanto	5/25/11
337.	Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as	MONSanto	
35,1	1 1 1 Manitoring Claims	Monsanto	5/25/11
338.	1 - 2 1 (2) Motion for Summary Judgillett on the	WIGHISANCE	
	Pleadings or, Alternatively, Summary Judgment on the		
	D : CD-i-mory Jurisdiction	Monsanto	5/25/11
339.	ton Cummary Individual as to	Williamic	
	lack of lack of the lack of la		
	Medical Opinion Concerning the Reasonable		
	T-commingtions	Monsanto	5/25/11
340	Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment as to	IVIOIIDAX	
	lactical Admits and for Certain Diseases	Monsanto	5/25/11
341	Action for Summary Judginent Agamst	10110110	
	Property Class Members Whose Property Has Not Been		
	To I Diavin	Monsanto	5/25/11
342	To Dismiss ()r. Alternatively, 101	1	
	Judgment On The Pleadings Based Open Lack of		
	I make the Transport of		5/25/11
343	Teamber Judgment Agamet	Ł.	
	The Property Class On The Basis Of Conflict		
	Preemption		

244 1	cc X f 1: tor Dariia Sillillia V Judenium	laintiffs	5/25/11
344.	Plaintiffs Motion for Fattan Summary Dioxin is a Proven Hazardous Substance for Purposes of		
1.	n Wastinghouse		5/31/11
	Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence and Arguments	Defendants	5/51/11
	11 1 -implemences		
	Motion in Limine for Instructions requiring jurors to I	Defendants	5/31/11
346.	Motion in Limine for instructions required and refrain from conducting independent research and		
	refrain from conducting indepondent		
	communicating information about the trial Motion to Prohibit Defendants' Use and Reference to I	Plaintiffs	6/1/11
347.	Motion to Prohibit Defendants Ose and reference		
	the December 2010 Blood Sampling Results of the Class		
	Representatives Due to Defendants' Failure to Provide		
	Plaintiffs With Split Samples Tartimony Pagarding	Plaintiffs	6/1/1
348.	Timing to Preclude Any Testimony Regarding	1 1411111111	
	other like of Exhibit 2 Produced by Delense		
	Detterson For the First Time at his Deposition	Plaintiffs	6/1/11
349.	Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony and Argumoni	1 Idilitiis	01 41 = 1
515.	- 1: NITIANIES Blood Data	Plaintiffs	6/1/11
350.	Timing to Preclude Jestimolly of Algumont	Plainuiis	0/1/11
330.	la that the Comm Dioxin results of the class		
	Suggesting that the Scrum Broken representatives and others can be extrapolated to the		
			6/1/11
2.51	Motion in Limine to Preclude Testimony or Argument	Plaintiffs	0/1/11
351.	Making Certain Representations Regarding the		
	1. Divor Valley Endometriosis Study		C /1 /1 1
	D.C. Janta, Motion In Limine 10 Preclude Class	Defendants	6/1/11
352.	d 1 Enem Arguing That Dioxin IS The Work		
	Toxic" Chemical Known To Man And Memorandum of		
	Law in Support Defendants' Motion In Limine To Preclude Evidence That Defendants	Defendants	6/1/11
353.	And Argument That Asserts Or Implies That Defendants And Argument That Asserts Or Implies That Defendants		
	And Argument I nat Asserts of Implies That 2	·	
	Had A Duty To Create And Maintain Records		
	Regarding The Quantity Or Disposition Of Waste From		
	The 2,4,5-T Production Process	Defendants	6/1/11
354	The 2,4,5-1 Production 1 Toess Defendants' Motion In Limine To Prohibit Evidence Or	l .	
	Argument That Defendants' Alleged Conduct Has		
	Caused Actual Bodily Injury, including information		
	- Dorgonal Injury Cases		6/1/11
355	To C 1 -t-? Motion In Limine 10 Promon Class	1	0, 2, 1,
د د د	Dresenting To the Jury Evidentially Of	ì	
	Demonstrative Exhibits Not Previously Disclosed To)	
	O sing Councel		6/1/11
356	Motion In Limine 10 Exclude Computer	- Defendants	0/1/11
330	Gimulations Prenared By Class Counsel	7	
	Experts Or, Alternatively, To Allow Such Simulations	S	
	Only Subject To A Cautionary Instruction		
	Omy Subject to 11 Guardinary		

357. I	s c 1 4-2 Motion in 1 imilie 10 110iiioii Biiocon 1	efendants	6/1/11
I A	And Argument That 2,3,7,8-TCDD Is A "Marker"		
I	ndicative Of Conduct Attributable to Defendants	efendants	6/1/11
T	Control Motion In Limine To Preclude Evidence De	Cicidants	
330.	And Argument That Defendants Failed To Conduct Soil		
1	1 D 4 C-maling	2 1	6/1/11
	O Contents' Motion in Limine to Preclude Planting D	efendants	0/1/11
359.	from Presenting Punitive Damages Evidence in Phase I		
1	a + m · 1		
(of the Trial Opposition to Plaintiffs' D	efendants	6/8/11
360.	Defendants' Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' D		
	Motion for Partial Summary Judgment That Dioxin is a		
	Proven Hazardous Substance" For Purposes of Bower v.		
1	www	laintiffs	6/8/11
	in Opposition to Defendants' Motion 101	Tamums	0/0/11
501.	Partial Summary Judgment Based on the Government		
	G to star Defence		6/0/11
	Omnibus Response in Opposition to Defendants' F	Plaintiffs	6/8/11
362.	Various Motions for Summary and Partial Summary		
	Various Motions for Summary and Parish		
	Judgment as to Medical Monitoring Claims Judgment as to Medical Monitoring Claims	Plaintiffs	6/8/11
363.	- Concestion to Deletinality Monday	. 1011111	
	Gament Against Property Class Wellberg		
	TYPE Descripting Not Reen Tested for Dioxin	Plaintiffs	6/8/11
364.	Opposition to Detendants Motion for	Plainulis	0/0/11
30 4 .	Ladement on the Pleadings of Alternatively Summary		
			6/0/11
	Response in Opposition to Defendants Motion for	Plaintiffs	6/8/11
365.	Summary Judgment as to Certain Property Class		
	Summary Judgment as to Contain 1107		
	Members Based on Deed Convenants and Conditions Members Based on Deed Convenants and Conditions for	Plaintiffs	6/8/11
366.	The same in Managitian III Delendants 171011011		
	Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs Actionable Trespass		
	1	Plaintiffs	6/8/11
367.	to Defendants Motion to	Plaintills	0/0/11
507,	Dismiss or alternatively for judgment on the pleadings		
	1 1 1 - f cubicot matter IIIIIS(IICIIOII		6 (0 /1.1
- 60	in Opposition to Detendants Motion for	Plaintiffs	6/8/11
368.	Response in Opposition to Defendents Motion for		
	Summary Judgment on Flanting State Brown for	Plaintiffs	6/8/11
369.	Response in Opposition to Defendants Motion for	•	
	Summary Judgment Against the Property Class on the		
	- CO. Gist Droomntion	Plaintiffs	6/8/11
370	in Opposition to Defendants Motion for	Flamenis	3, 3, 1
1	Summary Judgment as to all Class Claims for Punitive		
			(/1 2 /1 1
	A ffidevit and CV of Timothy Lash III Support	Defendants	6/13/11
371	of Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to		
	of Detendants' Response to Translation Maldonado		
	Exclude Defendants' Expert George Maldonado		

372.	Supplement to Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment to Which Class Counsel Has Failed to	Defendants	6/13/11
373.	Respond Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to Defendants'	Plaintiffs	6/14/11
	Judgment for Certain Medical Monitoring Chamber Related to Sawyer Dose Groups and Certain Geographic		
374.	Motion for Enlargement of Time to The Teachers Response in Opposition to Defendants' motions for Summary Judgment and Partial Summary Judgment for Summary Medical Monitoring Claims Related to Sawyer	Plaintiffs	6/14/11
375.	Dose Groups and Certain Geographic Areas Defendants' Reply To Plaintiffs' Response To Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment On	Defendants	6/15/11
376.	Plaintiffs' Actionable Trespass Claim Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Response In Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on	Defendants	6/15/11
377.	Plaintiffs' Strict Liability Claim Defendants' Reply Memorandum in Further Support of Pefendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Defendants	6/15/11
378.	Based on the Government Contractor Detense		6/15/11
379.	Judgment as to Medical Monitoring Claims Judgment as to Medical Monitoring Claims A performants' Motion for Partial	Defendants	6/15/11
380.	Summary Judgment as to Request to Recover Monitoring Expenses for Non-Cancer Diseases Monitoring Expenses for Non-Cancer Diseases Monitoring Expenses for Non-Cancer Diseases	Defendants	6/15/11
381	as a Component of Medical Monitoring	Defendants	6/15/11
382	Members Previously Employed by Defendants Penly to Plaintiffs' Response to	Defendants	6/15/11
302	Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Class		6/15/11
383	Reply In Support Of Defendants' Motion For Judgmer On The Pleadings Or, Alternatively, Summary Judgmer	nt	6/15/11
384	4. Reply in Support of Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment Against the Property Class on the Basis	of	
38	Conflict Preemption 5. Reply In Support Of Defendants' Motion To Dismi Or, Alternatively, For Judgment On The Pleadin Based Upon Lack Of Subject Matter Jurisdiction	ss Defendants gs	6/15/11

	Defendants' Reply to Plantill's Response Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Against Medical Monitoring Class Members Whose Blood	efendants	6/15/11
1	. r 1 II-re Not Reen Lested	Defendants	6/15/11
	Discourse -	Defendants	6/15/11
388.	Reply Memorandum in Support of Defendants for Summary Judgment as to Medical Monitoring Class Claims Based on the Lack of Medical Opinion Concerning the Reasonable Need for Diagnostic		6/15/11
389.	To Plaintiffs' Response III	Defendants	
390.	Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Against Property Class Members Whose Property Has Not Been	Defendants	6/15/11
391.		Defendants	6/15/11
202	Data Data Data Perpense to Plaintiffs' Motion to Prohibit	Defendants	6/15/11
392.	Defendants' Response to Hamilton Defendants' Use and References to the December 2010 Blood Sampling Results of the Class Representatives Due to Defendants' Failure to Provide Plaintiff with		
	Split Samples Split Samples Of Right to Respond to	Defendants '	6/15/11
393	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Testimony Regarding or Any Other Use of "Exhibit 2" Produced Republication of the First Time At His		
394	Preclude Testimony or Argument Making Certain Representations Regarding the Kanawha River Valley		6/15/11
395	To Preclude Testimony Or Argument Suggesting Tha	-	6/15/11
39	And Others Can Be Extrapolated To The Class 6. Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine to Prohibit Evidence and Argument that 2,3,7,8 TCDD is a Marker Indicative of Conduct Attributable to Defendants		6/15/11

	D. C. 1 +-2 Plai	ntiffs 6	5/15/11
397. P	The root of the control of the political of the control of the con	1111115	31 201 2
l m	a conding Alleged Similar Occurrences and Determine		
		1.00	6/15/11
	D Candonto, Response III Opposition	intiffs	6/13/11
398. F	Reply to Defendants Response in Judgment that Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment that		
F	Plaintiffs' Motion for Fartial Bullings of Blioxin is a proven hazardous substance for purposes of		
C	lioxin is a proven nazardous substance for part		
I	Bower v. Westinghouse Defendants' Motion in Pla	intiffs	6/15/11
399. I	- Composition to Deletidation in the contraction of		
1	a to E it I to Conduct Soil and Dust Sampling	aintiffs	6/15/11
	to Detendants Modern in 12.		
1	Limine to Preclude Plaintills Holli Tesenting		
		· /: cc-	6/15/11
	Response to Defendants' Motion in Limine to Sequester Plants	aintiffs	0/13/11
		1.00	6/15/11
		aintiffs	0/13/11
402.	Response in Opposition to Deformants Limine to Preclude Disparaging Comments about		
	Limine to Preclude Disparaging		
	Defendants Expert and Fact Witnesses Defendants Expert and Fact Witnesses	laintiffs	6/15/11
403.	Response to Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude Pl		
	References to the Class Affected Area References to the Class Affected Area Property of the Class Affected Area	laintiffs	6/15/11
404.	Defendants' Motion in Limite is		
, , , ,	Degramma IIITOTS IO ICOITAIN		
	Conducting Independent Research and Communicating		
		laintiffs	6/15/11
405.	onnogition to Defendants Motion in 1	Tallitilis	0,15,11
403.			
	- 11 Class Councel's Experts of Attendatively		
	Allow Such Simulations Only Subject to a Cautionary		
	· 1		C/15/11
		Plaintiffs	6/15/11
406.	Response in Opposition to Defendance Translation to the Jury to Prohibit Class Counsel from Presenting to the Jury		
	to Prohibit Class Counsel from Presenting Evidence or Demonstrative Exhibits not previously		
	Evidence or Demonstrative Exhibits not pro-		
	disclosed to opposing counsel	Plaintiffs	6/15/11
407.	· Ormodition to Detendants World III	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
,			
		Plaintiffs	6/15/1
408	· Omnogition to Deletitionity	riammis	
400	The Description of the Company of th		
	Defendants' Alleged Conduct Has Cades Injury, Including Information Concerning Personal		
	Illigury, incruding		
	Injury Cases		

	Defendants' Motion in F	Plaintiffs	6/15/11
409. I	Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion in F		
	Evidence of Argument that Any Blokm 247 Matter How Small - Is Harmful or Requires Medical		
		Plaintiffs	6/15/11
		1 Tallitiis	
410.	Response in Opposition to Before And Argument That Limine To Preclude Evidence And Argument That Defendants Had A Duty To		
	Or Disposition Of Waste From The 2,4,5-T Production		
1		1.00	6/21/11
	1 Mation in Limine to Preclude any	Plaintiffs	0/21/11
411.	. That I SP III EXIIIII LA LOGGE		
	by Defense Expert Patterson for the First Time at His		
	by Defense Expert Patterson for the Past		
	Deposition On Contacts' Motion in Limine to	Defendants	6/22/11
412.	Deposition Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion in Limine to		
		Defendants	6/22/11
413.	f Detendants Million III Lillion to		
	- 1 1 O TOPPEN CONPENSED AND THE PROPERTY OF T		
	Cormani's Experts or, Allemanivery, to		
	Such Simulations Only Subject to A Cautionary		
		Defendants	6/22/11
414.	+ of Motion in Limine to Preclude	Defendants	0
414.	Reply in Support of Wotton in Embedding Comments About Defendants' Expert and		
		D C 1	6/22/11
415	+ : Liffe' Desponse to Detendants Motion in	Defendants	0/22/11
415.	Reply to Plaintiffs Response to Determine to Preclude Plaintiffs From Presenting Punitive		
	This dense in Phase 1 Of the Illai		6/22/11
	of Detendants VIOLIOII III LIIIIII to	Defendants	6/22/11
416	Preclude References to the Class "Affected" Area		1100 111
	- CCD-tondonte Million III Intitute 10	Defendants	6/22/11
417	Reply In Support Of Defendants World In Support Of Defendants		
	Preclude Evidence And Argument That Defendants		
	Failed To Conduct Soil And Dust Sampling Failed To Conduct Soil And Dust Sampling	Defendants	6/22/11
418	of Detendants VIOIIII III Limite 10	1	
, ,	1 = 1 11 1 Paidongo And Arbitilian Ina 2001 10 ""	,	
	A "Marker" Indicative Of Conduct Attributable To		
	1 - • .	Defendants	6/22/11
419	1 : General of Defendants' Motion in Lilling to	Defendants	
413			
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	N	
			6/22/1
	of Defendants' [VIOII0II III LIIIIII to	Defendants	0/22/1
42	O. Reply in Support of Defendants Theorem Preclude Class Counsel from Arguing that Dioxin Is the Preclude Class Counsel from Arguing Class Counsel from Arguing Class Counsel from Arguing Class Counsel from Arguing Class Counsel fr	e	
	"Most Toxic" Chemical Known to Man		
1	"Most Toxic Chemical Known to 112		

	Defendants' Reply To Plaintiffs' Response In	Defendants	6/22/11
421. I	Opposition To Defendants' Motion in Limine To		
(Opposition 10 Defendants Wouldn't 2 Defendants' Prohibit Evidence Or Argument That Defendants'		
]	Prohibit Evidence Of Argument That Boliv Injury.		
	Alleged Conduct Has Caused Actual Bodily Injury,		
	Including Information Concerning Personal Injury		
1	Coggg	Defendants	6/22/11
422.	D C James, Danly to Plaintitts, Response to	Detendants	
1	D. f. donta, Motion In Limine 10 Prollion Class		
İ	Grand From Presenting to the Jury Evidentially of		
	Demonstrative Exhibits Not Previously Disclosed to		
1			6/22/11
	Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion In Limine for	Defendants	6/22/11
423.	Instructions Requiring Jurors to Refrain from		
	Instructions Requiring Juliors to Romania 2007		
	Conducting Independent Research and Communicating		
	Information About the Trial	Defendants	6/22/11
424.	Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion In Limine to	Dollar	
	Desired Evidence and Argument Regalding Anogod		
	Similar Occurrences and Defendants' Motion to		
	- 1 D. 1- 404(b) Evidence	D C 1	6/22/11
105	Defendants' Motion in Limite to	Defendants	0/22/11
425.	In the Landence and Arollincil that Assorts of International		
	La Lordanta Had a Durty to Create and Maintain		
	Records Regarding the Quantity or Disposition of Waste		
	Records Regarding the Quantity of Dispersion		
	from the 2,4,5-T Production Process	Defendants	6/22/11
426.	Defendants' Response In Opposition To Class Counsel's		
	Tow Enlargement ()t 11me 10 file Flaminis		
	To Opposition 10 Detendants Motions 101		
	Tridament And Partial Sullilliary Judgment 1 of		
	Certain Medical Monitoring Claims Related 10 Bawyon		
	Course And Certain (reographic Aleas		6/22/11
107	Defendants' Response to Plaintills Wotton to	Plaintiffs	0/22/11
427.	by 1:1:4 Defendants' Use and Reference to the		
	December 2010 Blood Sampling Results of the Class		
	Representatives Due to Defendants Failure to Provide		
	Representatives Due to Defendants I unare to		
	Plaintiff with split samples	Plaintiffs	6/22/11
428	Plaintiff with split samples Reply to Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion in		
	Tr. Drochide Testimony () Algunion Maning		
	Certain Representations Re: the Kanawha River Valley		
	Character and the control of the con	71 : 1:66-	6/22/11
420	Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Moulon III	Plaintiffs	0/22/11
429	The state of the s		
	that the serum dioxin results of the class representative		
	that the serum dioxin results of the class		
	and others can be extrapolated to the class	Plaintiffs	6/22/1
430	and others can be extrapolated to another in Reply to Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs Motion in		
	Limine to Exclude testimony and argument regarding		
1	NHANES blood data		

	Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendants' Motion Defendants	6/27/11
431.	for Judgment on The Pleadings or alternatively summary	
	for Judgment on The Pleadings of alternatively	
	judgment on the basis of primary jurisdiction judgment on the basis of primary jurisdiction Plaintiffs' Amended Plaintiffs	6/29/11
	This could be a second to the	
	Initial ID of Deposition Testimony III Lieu of Bive	
1	7 1 - 1 7 / 1 / 1 / 1	6/29/11
	Doced on New Legal Authority to Transfer	0, 23, 13
455.	Suppl. Response Based on Rem 2-18. Certain of Defendants' Motions Addressing the Issue of	
1	- 1: 1 1: -1 Evidence	7/1/11
12.4	2 1 1 Addion and Memorandum of Law Seeking Defendants	//1/11
434.	Delia Footo off (191ms f) file i fobbli	
	Response to Plaintiffs' Supplemental MIL to Preclude Defendants	7/5/11
435.	Response to Plaintins Supplementary Use of "Exhibit any Testimony Regarding or Any Other Use of "Exhibit Patterson for the First	
	any Testimony Regarding of Any Other Course	
	2" Produced by Defense Expert Patterson for the First	
	Time at His Deposition One of the state MSI on Class Members Defendants	7/12/11
436.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
, ,	at the MM who Hall outside of the bawyer bose	
	Groups and Partial MSJ whose Exposure seedings	
	: 1 C Coographic Areas	7/15/11
427	G. Deconsideration of the June 20, 2011 Order	//13/11
437.	Excluding the Opinions of Plfs Expert Robert J. Carr	
	136 in Composit of	= (1 = (1 1
	and Memo in Support of Response in Opposition to Defendants' Combined Plaintiffs Response in Opposition to Dispositive Relief as	7/15/11
438.	Response in Opposition to Beleficial Motion and Memo of Law Seeking Dispositive Relief as	
	Motion and Memo of Law Seeking Disposition	
	to all Claims of the Property Class. to all Claims of the Property Class. Plantiffs	7/20/11
439.		
	D. C. MCI on Class Members' Claims for Medical	
	Who Fall Outside of Sawyer Dose Groups	
	and Def. Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Class	
	1 Claims for Medical MONITOFING	7/22/11
440	Delintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of Bolomania	1/22/11
440	the June 28, 2011 Order Excluding the Opinions of	
	Witness Robert Carr	= /00/11
	f Defendants' complice Month and	7/22/11
441	Reply in Support of Defendants compared as to all Memorandum of Law seeking dispositive relief as to all	
	Memorandum of Law seeking dispositive rener of	
	claims of the Property Class Claims of the Property Class Pagensider the Court's Plaintiffs	7/25/1
442	Carragal's Motion to Reconsider the Court's Transfer	
, . —	Denial of Class Counsel's Motion to Exclude Dr	
	B 11	7/25/1
443	D. L. Momo in Support of Plaintitts' motion for Hamilia	112311
44.	Reconsideration of the June 28, 2011 Order Excluding the Opinions of Plaintiffs' Expert Witness, Robert Carr	

		7/25/11
	Rebuttal to Plaintiffs' Surreply to Defendants' Motion Defendants	1/23/11
444.		
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
	Groups and Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary	
	Groups and Defendants Would for rather medical	
	Judgment on class members' claims for medical	
	Judgment on class members of monitoring whose exposure occurred outside certain	
		8/6/11
445.	o Mana in Support of Renewed Wildion for	
1.15.		
	Manitoring Class and Alternative Motion to America	
	1 - 1 C(1 - Madiagal MADIIIIII) (1833)	8/9/11
446.	Class Councel's Motion III Limite & Suppli	0/5/11
440.	- 1 C. D. Indo Livinit () and MOLION to Reconstruction	
	Demist of Class Counsel's Mount to exercise	
	the Court's Denial of Class Country Dr. Patterson and Motion in limine to limit Dr.	
	1 (7)	8/9/11
	D Condents Deply to Plaintiffs Response to 1 minutes	8/9/11
447.	Surreply to Defendants Reply to Frankisch Class Counsel Defendants' Motion in Limine to Prohibit Class Counsel Defendants' Motion in Limine to Prohibit Class Counsel	
	to the mry cylichially of	
	from Presenting to the Jury Evidentiary of Demonstrative Exhibits not previously disclosed to	
	opposing counsel Opposing counsel Opposing counsel Opposing counsel Opposing counsel	8/9/11
448	opposing counsel Objection and Exceptions to Defendants Proposed Order Objection and Exceptions to Defendants Proposed Order Plaintiffs	
	Denving Plaintiffs' Corrected World to Entered	
	Opinions of Donald Patterson Opinions of Donald Patterson Opinions of Donald Patterson Opinions of Donald Patterson	8/9/11
449	Exceptions to Defendants 110posod 12	
• • • •	Diantitta' Manai I aida Daniman	
	Indement that dioxin is a proven hazardous sussemble	
	CD	8/10/11
450	Oliverian and Exception to Detenuality 11000500 Older	0,10,1
450	Denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude George	
		8/15/11
1.5	1 Objection to Detendants Renewed Worlding 1 American	0/13/11
45	1 a a lead area out IV///III/III III IV///VIIII III	
	Monitoring Class and Alternative Motion to Amend the	
	- C :: -fthe Medical Monitoling Class	0/17/11
	to Evelude lesimony of manifest	8/15/11
45	2. Motion in Limite to Exclude Feetings Suggesting that the results of Dust Samples from 99 Suggesting that the results of Dust Samples from 99	
	Suggesting that the results of Bust Sumper	
	Suggesting that the results of San Be Extrapolated Select Non Randomized Properties Can Be Extrapolated Defendants	8/18/11
45	3. Motion to Exclude the Results of Class Counsel's Carm	
	1 Mama of 1 237	8/19/1
4.5	riming to Preclude Evidence of Medical	
	Those Diseases for Willow	
	Digintiffs Have Not Established A Viable claim?	
i	to Bower v. Westinghouse Electric Corp.	

455 T	a c 1 1 1 Nation to EVCILLE COUNTRY	efendants	8/19/11
455. I	Evidence First Disclosed in Dr. Sawyer's May 6, 2011,		
1	136 and dram of law in Silphori		0/10/11
8	Defendants' Motion to Exclude Evidence Regarding D	efendants	8/19/11
456.	Defendants' Motion to Exclude Byladian Which Dr. Diseases Other Than the 12 Diseases for Which Dr.	,	
[]	Diseases Other Than the 12 Diseases for the Diseases Other Than the 12 Diseases for the Disease for the Diseases for the Diseases for the Diseases for the Disease for the Dis		
	Werntz Recommends Medical Weinstein		
	Memorandum of Law in Support Memorandum of Law in Support	Defendants	8/19/11
457.	Memorandum of Law in Support Reply In Support Of Defendants' Renewed Motion For I	, 010111111	
l l	T James out MOTION III I DELICITIES AND		
	Manitoring Class And Alternative Motion 10 7 miles		
1	The Medical Wollitoning Class	21 ' 4100-	8/19/11
	Strike Defendants' Motion in Limite to	Plaintiffs	0/19/11
1	- 1 1 T-timent or Argument Suggesting that the		
	. Commission trom 99 Delect 1 told 1		
	Randomized Properties Can Be Extrapolated and to		
	Strike Affidavit of Melanie Edwards		
	Motion to Strike Motion to Motion to Exclude the	Plaintiffs	8/19/11
459.	Motion to Strike Motion to Motion to		
	Results of Class Counsel's Calux Testing Results of Class Counsel's Calux Testing	Plaintiffs	8/22/11
460.	Suppl. Memo in Response to Defendants Motion in		
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
	T 1'- that Defendants Had a Duly to Create and		
:	Maintain Records Regarding the Quality of Disposition		
	1 and c Alaba A 5 T Production Process	Plaintiffs	8/26/11
461.	Defendants' Motion in Limite to Treetade	Planiums	0,20,11
401.	- f Madical Monitoring Expenses of Tribbe		
	b. Thich Plaintitts Have Not Established 11		
	Viable claim Pursuant to Bower v. Westinghouse		
			0.10.6./1.1
	Electric Corp. Response to Defendants' Motion to Exclude the Results	Plaintiffs	8/26/11
462.	Response to Defendants Without to Zara		
	of Class Counsel's Calux Testing Response to Motion to Exclude Evidence re: Diseases	Plaintiffs	8/26/11
463.	Response to Motion to Exclude Evidence 19. Werntz.		
	Other Than three 12 Diseases for which Dr. Werntz		
	Recommends Medical Monitoring Recommends Medical Monitoring	Plaintiffs	8/26/11
464.	Defendants' Motion in Limine to Exclude	Tamua	
, ,	A morrison and sillours lilling that the results are	l .	
	Dust Samples from 99 Select Non Randomized		
	- C Do Extrapolated		9/6/11
165	Penly in Support of Defendants' Motion to Exclude The	Defendants	9/0/11
465	- 1. COl-sa Councel's Callix Lesuite		10/14/1
	Detendants Motion to Exclude	Plaintiffs	10/14/1
466	Opinions and Certain Evidence First Disclosed in Dr.		
	Sawyer's 5-6-11 Suppl. Report		
1	1 (1)	i e	1

	Defendants	10/14/11
467.	Defendants' Motion In Limine To Preclude Evidence Of Defendants	
1		
	True 1 Disintiffe Have NOI Established II 1	
	Pursuant To Bower v. Westinghouse Electric	
		10/14/11
468.	T- Diginfiffe RESIGNATION	
400.		
	The 12 Diseases For Which Br. World Of Law In Medical Monitoring And Memorandum Of Law In	
		10/14/11
1.60	To Digitalitie VIULIUII TO DUTIE	10/11/11
469.		
	d marting that the results of Dasil	
	Or Argument Suggesting That The Tests Samples From 99 Select, Non-Randomized Properties	
	Samples From 99 Select, Non Teacher	10/14/11
	Can Be Extrapolated Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Response to Defendants Defendants' Memorandum in Response to	10/14/11
470.	Defendants' Memorandum in Response to	
	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum in Response to	
	Alast Accepts Of IIII) IES wat Dolong	
	had a Duty to Create and Walltan Teorem the Quantity or Disposition of Waste from the 2,4,5-T	
		10/18/11
471	Metion to Preserve the Jury Pool and Delendants	
4/1		
	The state of the s	
	Counsel's Unitateral, Extrajudician Unrepresented, Potential Jury Members and/or Class	
		10/21/11
	Defendants' Response to Transcription	10/21/11
472	Plaintiffs' Designation of Rule 404(b) Evidence Plaintiffs' Designation of Rule 404(b) Evidence Plaintiffs	10/01/11
		10/21/11
47.	Reply to Defendants Memo of East. Plaintiffs' Suppl. Memo in Response to the Defendants' Plaintiffs' Suppl. Memo in Response and Argument	
	Plaintiffs' Suppl. Memo III Response to the Property of the Pr	
	Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence and Argument Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence and Argument Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence and Argument	
	Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence that a Duty to that Asserts or Implies that Defendants Had a Duty to that Asserts or Implies that Degarding the Quantity or	
		10/21/1
47	TO C James DACHONSP 10 1 (dillulation and and and and and and and and and an	
1		
	S A suggesting that the Results t	
	Of Dust Samples From 99 Select, Non-Randomized	
	Properties Can Be Extrapolated	
	1 Topolities of the same of th	

		11 : 4: CC	10/21/11
1575	En / logitically allight tylogics	Plaintiffs	10/21/11
475.	Ostobor 18 7011 Killing On Delendants		
	TALLES TO PROPINE HILL JULY TO THE		
	Emergency Motion to Treserve and Motion that Class Counsel be Deemed in Contempt of		
	Court's 10-30-08 Gag Order Court's 10-30-08 Gag Order Evolude Opinions and	Defendants'	10/21/11
476.			
	Reply in Support of Motion to Excitate 11 Certain Evidence First Disclosed in Dr. Sawyer's 5-6-11		
		Defendants	10/26/11
477.	Class Councel's Motion 101 Claimeanon	Detendunts	
7//-			
	= c 1 -1-? Emargency (VIIIIIII) to 11050110		
	Line Town Dool and Motion that Class Counsel of Decimal		
	1 - 1 () 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -		11/9/11
	The stage of Video Deposition with	Defendants	11/9/11
478.	Motion to Quash Notices of Video Discovery, Or In The Alternative, Motion to Stay Discovery, Or In The Alternative, Nunc Pro		
	Motion to Stay Discovery, of in Limine Nunc Pro		
	Petition to File and Brief Motion in Limine, Nunc Pro		
	Tunc, In Zina G. Bibb, et al v., Monsanto Company, et		
		Defendants	11/11/11
479	To Motion to Chiash Noulces of Video	Defendants	
712		,	
	Dettion to tile and Brief Moudin in Diffice,		
	Nima Pro Tune In Zina U. Dibu, et al V., Italiana		
			11/11/11
	Momorandum of Law III Support of	Defendants	11/11/11
480	- a 1 / 1 Mation to I million Class Comme		
	Provide Notice of Class De-Certification to the		
	Provide Notice of Class Be-Columnation		
	Members of the Property Class Members of the Property Class	Plaintiffs	11/14/11
481	Members of the Floperty Class Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike and Response to Defendants' Nideo Deposition and	1	
	O-rech Notices of VIIIEU Deposition and		
	Chart Niccovery III III III III III	1	
	- Lile and Ruet Minimum 1 Limited 1 Control of the	ł.	
	Tunc, In Zina G. Bibb, et al v., Monsanto Company, et	-	
	1 G: 11 Action No. 04-C-465		11/11/1
10	- c 1 (-) Motion to Compel Class Courses to	Defendants	11/11/1
48	2. Defendants Motion to Composite Members of the Provide Notice of Decertification to the Members of the	e	
	Provide Notice of Decermination to		
	Property Class Overh Notices of Video	Defendants	11/11/1
48	Property Class 3. Supplement to Motion to Quash Notices of Video	- 1	
	1 = 1 Motion to Stay Discovery, Of In In	•	
	Detition to File and Brief Moulon in Dinnie	·, (
	Nunc Pro Tunc, In Zina G. Bibb, et al v., Monsant	U	
	Nunc Pro Tune, in Zina G. Bree, Company, et al., Civil Action No. 04-C-465	ì	1

	D. G. +: f. Their Property Claims as Plaintiff	11/18/11
484.		
1	- A I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
	the Alternative, for Permission to Supplement	
1	$\Delta = \pm 0$ $\Delta = \pm 0$	
1	distribution of the Property Class and Said Rulling's	
1 1	1 Madical Monitorino Relici Requestos	11/18/11
485.	Title Mation in Limine to illetitude Certain	11,10,15
465.	Plaintiff's Motion in Emilie to Documents and Evidence of Monsanto Company's	
	1 22	11/23/11
486.	Dequesting an Order Compening Defendant	11/25/11
480.	Class Counsel to Update and Confect the Country	
	- are 1.1 Cl Matatacotion Websile	11/23/11
407	Objection and Response to Plainting Deletion	11/25/11
487.	Addien In Limine to Include Celtain Documents	
	Caramany's United Auto	11/23/11
100	+ The state of Chiaction to Could Single of the state of	11/25/11
488.	The state of the s	11/28/11
100	C II - min a On "Plaintitts Wolloll to Re-certify 1	11/20/11
489.	1 - Claims as a lass Aution on In Inches	
	1 1 D on in the Allerianive, lot i diffinition	
	a 1 Cortain Evnett Renords to Account for	
	the attach of the Philippin Class and Commit	
	Ruling's Impact on the Medical Monitoring Relief	
		1.100/11
	Requested" Plaintiffs' Reply to the Defendant's Objection and Plaintiff Plaintiffs' Reply to the Defendant's Objection and Plaintiff	11/30/11
490.	Response to Plaintiffs' Motion In Limine to Include	
	Response to Flaminis Motion in Certain Documents and Evidence of Monsanto	
	Certain Documents and Evidence	
	Company's "Other Acts" . Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law regarding Statute of Plaintiff	11/30/11
491		
	Limitations of Law Concerning the Defendant	11/30/11
492	Limitations Defendants' Memorandum of Law Concerning the Defendant Defendants' Defendant	
	Presentation of the Statue of Limitations Defense Presentation of the Statue of Limitations Defense Presentation to Plaintiff	12/2/11
493		
	Hold "Notice of Appeal" in Abeyance Hold "Notice of Appeal" in Abeyance Defendants' Motion, and Incorporated Memorandum of Defendant Hold "Notice of Appeal" in Abeyance Re-Certify Their	12/2/11
494	Defendants' Motion, and Incorporated Methoralidam of	
	The state of the s	
	Claims as a Liass Action on an about-	
	- 1 11 Damagagi Or III IIIE Allelliative, 201	
	- Cumplement (PTAIT) EXPERT REPORTS	
	C Also Constitute December Of the Experience	
	Class and Said Ruling's Impact on the more	
		12/5/11
49	Detendants Mellioralidant of	
	Law Concerning the Presentation of the States	
	Limitations Defense	

496.	Defendants' Response Plaintills Wellioralidum of Zur	Defendant	12/5/11
1	1: Ot-tate of Limitations	Plaintiff	12/7/11
497.	regarding Statute of Emmattons Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend the Parties Integrated F	lammi	
	. 10 1	Plaintiff	12/7/11
498.	in Opposition to Defendants	Piailiuii	12///12
470.			
	- to the Members Of the Flupcity Class,		
	1 D1 1 LEE 7 CHOCC-MOTION IN INCIDENT ALL COLOR		
	- 1. Lith Decrect to the Fluberty Durings		
	The state of 2 of the state of the order of the state of		
	Data may Affect the Running of the Statue of		
	1 Manabara of the PTODELLY CLASS	Plaintiff	12/8/11
499.	Defendants' Response Flaminis	Plainuii	12/0/11
477.	Managed awarding Statute of Emittations	Plaintiffs	12/14/11
500.	Tet icc) Mation to Stay the 11/3/11 Class	Plainulls	12/14/11
300.	France Property Class Nunc Pro Tune		·
	1 and/or TOT (MUC) NOODSITE IN THE		
	Pending Appear and of the Statute of Limitations in light		
	1 6 1	Defendants	12/16/11
501.	C Intent Not to Offer Evidence of argument	Defendants	12/10/11
501.	before the trier of fact at trial in support of the statute of		
	11 defence	D. C. James	12/19/11
502.	1 Mation for Summary Judgment on the	Defendants	12/17/11
302.	Property Claims of the Individual Named Plaintiffs and		
	Y Marin of Lovy	701 : 4:CC-	12/19/11
503.	+ a d d resign in Support of Portions of 1113.	Plaintiffs	12/17/11
505.	in limine to include certain documents and		
	avidence of Monsanto Company's other acts that perturn		
	that mefor to aroclar and/or DCUS	71 : ('CC-	12/22/11
504	Application for a Slay of the	Plaintiffs	12/22/11
304			
	Decertifying the Former Property Class Nunc Pro Tunc		
	1 m 1		12/23/11
505	to Class Counsel's Silphi, Submission in	Defendants	12/23/11
302	Support of Portions of Plfs. Motion in infinite to mercas		
	dearments and evidence of Monsume	' <u>!</u>	
	Company's other acts that pertain to documents that		
	l a l l l mand/or none		12/23/1
500	in liming to include evidence of additional	l Plaintiffs	12/23/1
300	diseases in light of this Court's ruling permitting	3	
	nuisance and trespass instructions		12/28/1
50	= \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Plaintiffs	12/29/1
	Con Inguinos of a Rille 10 Silow Cause 11 II	y Defendants	12/27/1
30	8. Motion for issuance of a real of the Order Calwell Should Not Be Held in Contempt of the Order	S	
	of this Court		

500	Response to Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for D	efendants	12/30/11
E .	Response		12/30/11
	Disintiffs' Motion in Limine to Include D	efendants	12/30/11
1	- 1 - c Additional Diseases III Light of the Court -		
	Tespass Institution		
	Ruling Permitting Nuisance and Trespass 222 Suppl. Memo of Class Counsel re documents Offered to P	laintiffs	12/30/11
511.	Suppl. Memo of Class Counsel to documents of the Hazards	•	
	Show Monsanto's Notice and Knowledge of the Hazards Show Monsanto's Notice and Knowledge of the Hazards		
	of the Chloracnegenic Contaminant in its Nitro Process of the Chloracnegenic Contaminant in its Nitro Process	Respondents/	1/3/12
512.	To the Motion and Application for the	Monsanto -	
	- the Circuit Court S 11-5-11 Class 1	WVSC	
	Decertifying the Former Property Class Nunc Pro Tunc	W V DC	
	7 1' A	27 - 4.00-	1/3/12
<u></u>	Reply to Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Emergency I	Plaintiffs	1/5/12
513.	C Clarification		1/3/12
	Timing to Preclude Defendants, During	Plaintiffs	1/3/12
514.	Opening Arguments from Identifying Specific Alternate		
	1 C.1 Disconding (United to 100) A104		
	Sources of the Dioxins/Furans in the class -	Defendants	1/5/12
515.	Response to Plaintiffs Motion in limine to preclude		
	Defendants during opening arguments from identifying		-
	Specific Alternate Sources of the Dioxins/Furans in the		
	must 1	Plaintiffs	1/6/12
516.	The contract of the contract o	Tiaillillis	
510.	to the same of law that the basis of the		
	1 - inion to deny voir dire of Drospective Julions		
	the bifurcation and Schalation of Column		
	and a plaintitte' claims for property damage		
	and remediation from their claims for medical		
	monitoring Response to Defendants Motion for issuance of a Rule Response to Defendants Motion for issuance of a Rule	Plaintiffs	1/6/12
517	Response to Defendants Motion for Issuante of Held in		
	to Show Cause Why Calwell Should not be Held in		
	Contempt of the Orders of the Court Contempt of the Orders of the Court	Defendants	1/6/12
518	The chair and Exclude Proposed Dut I leviously	Beleiter	
• • •	Excluded Opinions and Testimony of Class Country		
	remadiation expert. Robert Carr	100	1/12/12
	- Post of Plaintitts Motion in	Plaintiffs	1/12/12
519	detendants uning operation	f	
	limine to preclude defendants during opening arguments, from identifying specific alternate sources of		
	arguments, from identifying specific area		
	the dioxins/furans in the class area	Defendants	1/12/12
520	the dioxins/furans in the class area Suppl. Memo in Support of the Exclusion of evidence Alabama	1	
	concerning Aroclor, PCBs and Aimiston, Madding		
	and wester disposal activities		1/12/12
1	of motion for issuance of a rule to		
52	Reply III Support of motion		ì
52	1. Reply in support of motion for isotation show cause why Calwell Should not be Held in Contempt of the Orders of the Court	1	

	Continue	Defendants	1/13/12
522.		Defendants	
	concerning Aroclor, PCBs and Aminston, Mastern		
1	Production and waste disposal activities	Plaintiffs in	1/17/12
523.	The State of Prohibition and Appendix	WVSC	
			1/17/12
524.	Motion for Expedited Relief in Consideration of their	1 Idilitiis	1/1//12
324.	- C XV it of Probabilion	WVSC	1/18/12
525.	Surreply in Further Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion in	Defendants	1/10/12
323.	detendants uniting opening		
	arguments, from identifying specific alternate sources of		
	the dioxing/furans in the class area		1/10/12
	Petitioners Notice of Withdrawal of Motion for	Plaintiffs	1/19/12
526.	Expedited Relief in Consideration of their Petition for		
	Expedited Relief in Consideration of the Considerat		
	Writ of Prohibition filed 1-17-12 Class Counsel's Motion to Rule 28(f) of The WV Rules	Petitioners	2/3/12
527.	of Appellate Procedure to Hold in Abeyance class	(Plfs.)	
	of Appellate Procedure to Hold in Abeycane	` ,	
	Counsel's Petition for Writ of Prohibition Earlier Filed	Counsel for	2/6/12
528.	Response to Petition for Writ of Prohibition	Respondents	
	1 CD ('d' or for Writ of	Petitioners	2/8/12
529.	Counsel's Notice of Withdrawal of Petition for Writ of	(Plfs.)	
	Prohibition Earlier Filed	Defendants	2/19/12
530.	Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlements -	NOT FILED	(dated 2-
	version #1	WITH	20-12)
		COURT -	20 12)
		ONLY	
		SUBMITTED	
		TO JUDGE	2/23/12
531.	Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlements -	Defendants	1
331.	version #2	1101	1
	VCISION II 2	WITH	24-12)
	Submitted corrected Exhibit E on 2-23-12	COURT -	•
	Submitted correspond	ONLY	
		SUBMITTED	
		TO JUDGE	0.000.110
	. Motion to Conduct Hearing Re Certification o	f FILED	2/23/12
532	Conditional Property Class	UNDER	
	Conditional Froperty Class	SEAL - Urbar	1
	8. Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlements	- Defendants	2/24/12
533	Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Society	NOT FILEI)
	version #3 (Final)	WITH	
1		COURT	- .
	1		
		ONLY	
		SUBMITTEL	

534.	Motion to Permit discovery regarding ranness and	Urban	2/24/12
ì	de avecy of proposed class settlement	Petitioners	3/1/112
505	Delitioner's Motion to hold appeal in abeyance pending	Petitioners	5/1/112
1	contained agreement agreement agreement		
	and/or motion to withdraw appeal subject to final		
	approval of property class settlement agreement		
	Suppl. Motion to Permit Discovery Re Fairness Class	Urban -	3/3/12
536.	Suppl. Motion to Pellint Discovery red Proposed Class	FILED	
	Reasonableness and Adequacy of Troposed State	UNDER	
	Settlement	SEAL	
		SLAL	
	Motion to permit discovery re mediation and settlement		
	reactiations to show lack of fairness reasonableness and		
	adequacy of class settlement due to collusion between		
	defendants and class counsel		1
-535	Motion to Lift gag order to allow discussion of	Urban	3/3/12
537.	MOUNT to Lift gag order to make		
	settlement with all interested persons Response to Motion to Lift gag order to allow discussion	Monsanto	3/12/12
538.	Response to Motion to Lift gag order to allow discussion		
	of settlement with all interested persons	Monsanto -	3/12/12
539.	Omnibus Response to Motions of Objectors Urban &	FILED	
	Falk to Permit Discovery regarding the proposed class	UNDER	
	settlements		
		SEAL	3/12/12
540.	Plaintiffs'- Class Counsel's Response in Opposition to	Plaintiffs -	3/12/12
540.	Urban Motion to life gag order to allow discussion of	FILED	
	settlement with all interested parties	UNDER	
	Settlement with an interesses passes	SEAL	
	Response to Urban's Motion to Permit Discovery	Plaintiffs	- 3/12/12
541.	regarding the proposed class settlements and Response	FILED	
	regarding the proposed class settlements and response	i	
	to Urban's motion to permit discovery regarding	1	
	mediation and settlement settlement negotiations to	DEI IE	
	show lack of fairness reasonableness and adequacy of	1	
	class settlement due to collusion between defendants and		
	alors counsel		3-26-12
542.	Petition for Award of Attorneys fees and litigation	Plaintiffs	3-20-12
٠ ســـ ،	aynances		2/20/12
543.	Suppl Briefing to Correct the Record Re: Assertions	Urban	3/28/12
J#J.	Made in Proponents Responses to Discovery Motions		- (: -
5 A A	Mama of the Urban & Falk plaintiffs in		5/30/12
544.	opposition/objection to proponents' proposed settlement		
	opposition/objection to proponents proposes settlement		
	of the property and medical monitoring classes claims	Plf Calwell	6/1/12
545		, 111 001011	
	petition for award of attorneys fees and litigation	1	
	evnences	s Plf. Calwell	6/1/12
546	- to mamed clas	s PII. Calwell	0/1/12

	Class Counsel Petition for Award	Urban	6/5/12
547.	Objectors Response to Class Counsel Petition for Award		
(of Attorneys Fees and Litigation Expenses	Urban	6/7/12
549.	Memo Identifying Urban & Falk Objectors Suppl Memo of Urban & Falk Plfs. In Opposition/Objection to Proponents Proposed	Urban	6/7/12
	Settlement of the Property & medical momentum	McQuade-	6/7/12
	Objection to Class Action Settlement & Attorneys' Fee Request of Class Member Jane Murdock	Murdock Calwell - Plfs.	6/11/12
551.	Class Counsel's Report Certifying Completion of the Notice Requirements Set forth in the Court's Order		6/12/12
552.	Class Counsel's Omnibus Response to Various Bay	Calwell	
553.	Objections Defendants' Response to Memo & Suppl Memo of Urban & Falk Plfs. In Opposition/Objection to Proposets' Proposed Settlement of the Property &	Defendants	6/12/12
554.	medical Monitoring Classes [Sic] Claims Diector Jane Murdock's	Defendants	6/13/12
555.	Request Reply to Objectors' Response to Petition for Award of		6/13/12
556.	Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses		6/13/12
557.	Urban & Falk Objectors Class Counsel's Preliminary Response & motion to Class Strike to Objector Jane Murdock's Objection to Class	Plfs. Calwell	6/13/12
558.	Action Settlement and Attorneys Fee Request Class Counsel's Reply to the Memo & Suppl Memo of Urban & Falk Plfs. In Opposition/Objection to Proponents' Proposed Settlement of the Property o	f Plfs. Calwell	6/13/1
559	medical Monitoring Classes [ste] Claims Feature 4 to Class Counsel's Reply to the Memo	& Plfs. Calwell in FILED ed UNDER	- 6/13/1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF PUTNAM COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

ZINA G. BIBB, DONALD R. and WANDA M. RHODES, HERBERT W. and NORMA J. DIXON, CHARLES S. and BETTY TYSON, and VICKIE BAILEY,

Plaintiffs

v.

MONSANTO COMPANY and PHARMACIA CORP.,

Defendants.

INDEX OF ENTERED ORDERS

No.	Description	Party	Date
1.	Order Extending Time to Answer Complaint	COURT	2/9/05
2.	Order Extending Time to Answer Complaint	COURT	3/11/05
3.	Letter from Judge Spaulding setting hearing for 6/2/05 and briefing schedule	COURT	4/15/05
4.	Order Dismissing Flexsys International LP and Flexsys International Co.	COURT	7/15/05
5.	Notice that case is assigned to Judge Robert C. Chambers	COURT - USDC	7/27/05
6.	Standing Order in Re: Assignment and Referral of Civil Actions and Matters to Magistrate Judges	COURT - USDC	8/1/05
7.	Memorandum Opinion and Order remanding case	COURT - USDC	11/2/05
8.	Letter to Putnam County Circuit Clerk returning file	COURT – USDC	11/10/05
9.	Letter from Putnam County Circuit Court accepting file	COURT	11/18/05



10.	Order Denying Akzo Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	COURT	12/29/05
11.	Order denying Defendants Joint Motion to Dismiss	COURT	12/30/05
12.	Letter to counsel from Judge Spaulding regarding Akzo's discovery status and motion to dismiss	COURT	1/13/06
13.	Letter to counsel from Judge Spaulding stating that he will not limit discovery as to Monsanto	COURT	1/13/06
14.	Letter from Judge Spaulding setting scheduling conference	COURT	1/19/06
15.	Memorandum Opinion and Order	COURT - USDC	1/25/06
16.	Letter from Judge Spaulding regarding Judicial Investigation Commission and law clerk	COURT	3/3/06
17.	Scheduling Order for Motion Hearings	COURT	3/23/06
18.	Letter from Judge Spaulding scheduling hearing on motion for entry of order of class certification	COURT	3/29/06
19.	Scheduling Order for Motion Hearing	COURT	5/19/06
20.	Order Dismissing Cross-claim of Flexsys Against Pharmacia	COURT	5/24/06
21.	Scheduling Order for Class Certification	COURT	5/31/06
22.	Order Substituting Counsel	COURT	6/1/06
23.	Order denying in part Akzo's Rule 56(b) Motion For Summary Judgment And Dismissing Akzo Nobel Services, Inc., Grants Plaintiffs Motion To Amend Complaint To Add Certain Akzo Defendants		7/10/06
24.	Order Granting in Part Defendants' Motion for Protective Order	COURT	8/9/06

25.	Scheduling Order Regarding Revised Timeline for Discovery and Class Certification Pleadings and Hearing	COURT	8/30/06
26.	Order Granting the Substitution of Named Plaintiff, Jeanette Winter for Vicki L. Bailey	COURT	8/30/06
27.	Order Substituting Counsel on behalf of Akzo Defendants	COURT	9/8/06
28.	Order Suspending 8/31/06 Scheduling Order and Setting Scheduling Conference	COURT	11/27/06
29.	Voluntary Dismissal Order as to Evelyn Smith Cash	COURT .	11/27/06
30.	Scheduling Order for Class Certification	COURT	1/9/07
31.	Order Regarding Discovery Issues	COURT	1/19/07
32.	Letter from Judge Spaulding granting motion to take additional depositions of 45 individuals	COURT	2/2/07
33.	Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Protective Order	COURT	3/6/07
34.	Letter from Judge Spaulding requesting response by defendants to plaintiffs motion to compel	COURT	3/15/07
35.	Order Granting Monsanto Company's Motion to Take Depositions of Additional Putative Class Members Who Have Submitted Sampling Data	COURT	3/28/07
36.	Order Suspending Scheduling	COURT	4/4/07
37.	Order Granting Motion to Substitute Counsel Griffin and Lovejoy for Urban	COURT	6/4/07
38.	Memorandum and Order	COURT - USDC	6/26/07
39.	Scheduling Order for Class Certification	COURT	7/20/07
40.	Order Granting Motions for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Urban and Mason	COURT	7/23/07

41.	Order Regarding Various Motions for Continuation of Admission Pro Hac Vice and Withdrawal of Pro Hac Vice Sponsorship	COURT	7/23/07
42.	Memo Opinion and Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Designation of Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel	COURT	7/23/07
43.	Order Clarifying the Role of Lead Counsel	COURT	9/13/07
44.	Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Protective Order	COURT	9/28/07
45.	Order Denying Certain Plaintiffs Motion to Supplement the Record	COURT	10/15/07
46.	Sequestration Order and Witness Instruction by Counsel	COURT	10/29/07
47.	Order Setting Briefing Schedule Following Class Certification Hearing	COURT	11/26/07
48.	Class Certification Order	COURT	1/7/08
49.	Letter from Judge Spaulding scheduling hearing on motion to compel and Urban motion	COURT	2/1/08
50.	Letter from Judge scheduling hearing on motion to compel and Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	COURT	2/22/08
51.	Letter from Judge Spaulding regarding hearing on class certification	COURT	3/17/08
52.	Letter from Judge Spaulding requesting pleading on removing or adding class representatives	COURT	3/27/08
53.	Order granting motion to exceed 75 page limit for filing an appendix	SUPREME COURT	3/27/08
54.	Order Denying Urban & Falk's Motion for Alter or Amend the Judgment or in the alternative for certification to the WV Supreme Court of Appeals on Behalf of the Named Plaintiffs Allen, Agee, Raynes	COURT	4/11/08

55.	Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion In Writing for Leave to Exceed the Seventy-Five Page Limit	SUPREME COURT	04/25/08
56.		SUPREME COURT	5/22/08
57.	Order Setting Briefing Schedule Pending Defendants Motions at Status and Scheduling Conference on June 18, 2008	COURT	7/8/08
58.	Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Quash Plaintiffs' Notice of Depositions and for Protective Order	COURT	8/1/08
59.	Order directing Calwell to notify other plaintiffs' counsel of scheduling and cancellation of hearings and depositions	COURT	8/15/08
60.	Order Correcting Class Certification Order Nunc Pro Tunc	COURT	9/23/08
61.	Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Permission to Take Depositions of the Following Former Monsanto Employees for Purposed of Perpetuating their Testimony: McClanahan, Bailey, Isaacs, A. Bailey, W. Daily	COURT	9/23/08
62.	Letter from Judge Spaulding regarding plaintiffs advertising on-line	COURT	9/26/08
63.	Order Denying Defendants' General Objections to Plaintiffs Request for Admissions and Motion for Protective Order Restricting Plaintiffs Premature, Excessive Use of Requests for Admissions	COURT	10/28/08
64.	Order Denying Defendants Motion to Decertify Medical Monitoring Class or Alternatively Amend Class Definition Based on Overly Broad Class Period and Dose Group	COURT	10/28/08
65.	Order regarding plaintiffs advertising	COURT	10/30/08
66.	Standing Order in Re: Assignment and Referral of Civil Actions and Matters to Magistrate Judges	USDC	12/1/08

67.	Letter setting deadline for response to Motion of Monsanto for Transfer of Actions to the MDL	MDL Court	12/18/08
68.	Order remanding 54 cases to Putnam County except for Carter case	COURT	12/19/08
69.	Letter to Circuit Court returning case files	COURT	12/30/08
70.	Letter from Judge Spaulding on Medical Monitoring post 1970 issue	COURT	2/25/09
71.	Order granting defendants motion for plaintiffs' counsel to provide names and addresses of individuals they represent	COURT	3/1/09
72.	Letter from Judge Spaulding setting agenda for 3/18/09 hearing	COURT	3/9/09
73.	Letter from Court enclosing proposed trial plan	COURT	3/20/09
74.	Order Amending Definition of Medical Monitoring Class	COURT	4/3/09
75.	Order Governing Plaintiffs' Environmental Testing Project and Related Scheduling Issues	COURT	4/24/09
76.	Order regarding Urban & Falk/Calwell motions	COURT	8/18/09
77.	Case Management Order	COURT	12/9/09
78.	Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Permission to conduct Limited Additional Indoor Dust Sampling for Limited Purpose of Evaluating Damages Claim	COURT	12/29/09
79.	Agreed Order Authoring the Release of Medical Records	COURT	12/29/09
80.	Order Amending the Definition of the Medical Monitoring Class	COURT	3/26/10
81.	Order Denying Defendants' Motion For Rule 35 Independent Medical Examination	COURT	5/20/10

82.	Cadam Hanting Planning Middle to Trouble	COURT	7/19/10
	Exclude and Strike the Hearing Testimony of Philip S. Guzelian, M.D.		
83.	Order Adopting Form of Class Notices and Plan for Class Notification, with Directions	COURT	8-6-10
84.	• 1	COURT	8-24-10
0.5	Order Granting Pro Hac Vice Representation of	COURT	9/3/10
85.	Napoli, Kunkle, Bern		0/20/10
86.	Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Require Class Representatives to Produce Blood Samples	COURT	9/30/10
87.	Order Authorizing Pro Hac Vice Admission of Thomas Goutman	COURT	9/30/10
88.	Letter from Judge Spaulding regarding defendants motion to modify scheduling order	COURT	11/8/10
89.	Letter from Judge Spaulding requesting status of certain activities	COURT	11/10/10
90.	Order Denying Motion to Allow Defendants to conduct Serum Dioxin Blood Tests of Plaintiffs' Class Soil and Dust Samplees	COURT	12/7/10
91.	Revised Case Management Order	COURT	12/17/10
92.	Hearing on Defendants Emergency Motion to Modify paragraphs 1 and 2 of 12/17/10 Revised CMO	COURT	12/23/10
93.	Revised Case Management Order	COURT	12/17/10
94.	Second Revised Case Management Order	COURT	1/13/11
95.	Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Modify Paragraph 1 of Revised CMO	y COURT	1/13/11
96.	Order Deeming Blood Evidence Admissible	COURT	2/11/11
97.	Order Regarding 2/11/11 Hearing	COURT	2/24/11

	Letter from Court changing Hearing dates and	COURT	2/28/11
98.	filing deadlines		
			2/24/11
	Third Revised Case Management Order	COURT	3/24/11
99.			0/04/11
100	Only Einding that the Religionis Dot 1 of the	COURT	3/24/11
100.	the Court's Class Notification Order Have Been		
	Satisfied		
			4/18/11
101.	Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Partial	COURT	4/18/11
101.	Indement on the Pleadings as to the Medical		
	Monitoring Class Claim for Punitive Damages		
		COLIDE	4/26/11
102.	Letter from Judge Spaulding to Clerk directing	COURT	4/20/11
102.	him to withdraw certain zip codes		
		COURT	5/2/11
103.	Letter from Judge to Clerk requesting he draw a	COURT	3,2,1
	separate jury panel for case		
		COURT	5/9/11
104.	Letter from Judge Spaulding to follow up on the	COOKI	
	jury selection procedures		
	The state of the s	COURT	5/27/11
105.	Order granting Akzo Nobel Chemicals Inc.'s		
	Motion for Summary Judgment		
	Order granting Flexsys Defendants' Motion for	COURT	5/27/11
106.	Order granting Flexsys Defendants		
	Summary Judgment		
107	Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment	COURT	6/1/11
107.	as to Claims of Property Class Members Owning		
	non-school properties and	4	
	the March 2011 Affidavit and Proposed	1	
	Testimony of Class Counsel's Expert, Rober	t	
	Carr		
		n COURT	6/1/11
108	Order denying Plaintiffs' motion to Reoper	1	
	Discovery for the limited purpose of requiling	8	
	Monganto to Disclose its Total Payments		
	Exponent for any and all services at any time		
	2 I 1 G Iding Attached Jurar List	s COURT	6/27/11
109	Letter from Judge Spaulding Attached Juror List		
	regarding Questionnaires		
	Order Excluding Dr. Guzelian's Opinions and	COURT	6/28/1
110	Testimony as it Related to Odds Calculations		
	Testimony as it related to odds care and a		

111.	Order Excluding the Opinions of Fidure 12	COURT	5/28/11
1	Expert Witness, Robert J. Carr	COX ID T	6/28/11
112.	Letter from Judge Spaulding re: rulings on Daubert motions	COOKI	
113.	Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as to the Claims of the Property Class for Lack of Evidence of Property Value	COURT	6/29/11
114.	Letter from Judge Spaulding regarding Suggestion of expanding Juror Questionnaire	COURT	7/1/11
115.	Letter from Judge Spaulding outlining motions for 7/12 and 7/27 hearings and other deadlines	COURT	7/5/11
116.	Letter from Judge Spaulding regarding 8/10 hearing date and re: questionnaire and location	COURT	7/13/11
117.	Letter from Judge Spaulding regarding 1. Identify any outstanding issues from previous hearing 2. Review current schedule and 3. Confirm the Court is in receipt of all motions pending.	COURT	7/14/11
118.	Letter from Judge Spaulding denying Medical Monitoring motions for summary judgment	COURT	7/28/11
119.	Proposed Questionnaire Instruction proposed by Judge Spaulding and provided during hearing	COURT	7/28/11
120.	Letter from Judge Spaulding with proposed revisions to voir dire and questionnaire	COURT	8/2/11
121.	Court's Proposed Questionnaire Instruction	COURT	8/10/11
122.	List of Jurors and information	COURT	8/10/11
123.	Order Deeming Withdrawn Certain Motions Filed by Class Counsel	s COURT	8/10/11
124.	C Classical Progrective Juro	r COURT	8/22/11
125.	Administrative Order assigned Judge Swope a new Judge	SUPREME COURT	8/29/1

126	Order setting aside previous scheduling orders	COURT	8/29/11
126.	and setting a conference call		
127.	Fourth Revised Case Management Order and Letter from Swope correcting paragraph 6 of	COURT	9/12/11 & 9/14/11
	СМО	COURT	9/29/11
128.	Order Regarding Mediation		0/00/11
129.	Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude Defendants Expert George Maldonado	COURT	9/29/11
130.	Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment that Dioxin is a Proven Hazardous Substance for purposes of Bower v. Westinghouse	l .	9/29/11
131.	Order Deeming Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment as to all Claims for Punitive Damages Moot	COURT	9/29/11
132.	Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Nunc Pro Tunc, Defendants Motion to Decertify Classes or Alternatively Amend Both Class Definitions for Failure to Specify a Date or Which the Composition of the Class if Fixed and to Clarify Exhibit 1	s n d	9/29/11
133.	Order Denying Nunc Pro Tunc Defendants Motion to Decertify and/or Dismiss Plaintiffs Claim for Either Remediation of Property o Diminution of Value, as Both Claims Mad Together are Duplicate	r	9/29/11
134.	Order Deeming Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude the Proposed Expert Opinion Evidence Opinion Ev	n,	9/29/11
135.	Order Deeming Various Dispositive Motion Pertaining to Claims Asserted on Behalf Property Class Moot	ns COURT of	9/29/1

136.		COURT	9/29/11
	Exclude Testimony and Argument Regarding		
	NHANES Blood Data		
	Timine to	COURT	9/29/11
137.	Order Denying Plaintiffs Motion in Limine to Preclude Testimony or Argument Making Certain Preclude Testimony or Argument Making Certain		
	Preclude Testimony of Argument Hadring Representations regarding the Kanawha River		
	Valley Endometriosis Study		
		COLDT	9/29/11
138.	O 1 Denimor Plainille William to 110	COURT	3,23,
	D. C. James, High and References to the Decomber 1		
	2010 Blood Sampling Results of the Class Representatives Due to Defendants' Failure to		
	Provide Plaintiffs With Split Samples		
		COLIDT	9/29/11
139.	Order Rendering Moot Defendants Motion in	COURT	3,2,,,,
	Limine to Preclude Plaintiffs Irolli Flesching		
	Punitive Evidence in Phase 1 of the Trial		
1.40	Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine to	COURT	9/29/11
140.	Sequester Witnesses During Trial		
	<u>.</u>	COURT	9/29/11
141.	Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine to		
	Preclude Disparaging Comments About Defendants Expert and Fact Witnesses		
	·		9/29/11
142.	Order Granting Defendants Motion in Limine for	COURT	9/29/11
1 12.	instructions requiring jurors to ichain from		
	conducting independent research and		
	communicating information about the trial		
1.42	Order Deeming Defendants Motion for Summary	COURT	9/29/11
143.	Judgment on Plaintiffs' Actionable Trespass	3	
	Claim Moot		
		COURT	9/29/11
144.	Order Granting Defendants' Motion in limine to exclude computer-generated simulations prepared	•	
	lan Class Counsel's experts, or alternatively, to	0	
	allow such simulations only subject to	a	
	cautionary instruction		
		COURT	10/11/1
145	. Order from September 29, 2011 Hearing		


	Order Granting in Fait and Benying Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude the Opinions of the Defendants' Expert Patterson, Granting Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Preclude any testimony regarding or any other use of Exhibt 2 produced by defense expert Patterson for the first time at his deposition and Granting in Part and	COURT	10/12/11
	Motion to Exclude Patterson and Motion in Limine to Limit Dr. Patterson's Testimony		10/12/11
147.	Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Based on the Government Contractor Defense	COURT	
148.	Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Medical Monitoring Claims	COURT	10/12/11
149.	Order Denying Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Amended Initial ID of Deposition Testimony in Lieu of Live Testimony Dated 3-10-11	COURT	10/12/11
150.	Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment as to Medical Monitoring for Certain Diseases	COURT	10/12/11
151.	Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence and Argument that Defendants Failed to Conduct Soil and Dust Samples		10/12/11
152.		COURT	10/13/1
153.	Order Granting in Part and denying in part the defendants' Emergency Motion to Preserve the Jury Pool and Motion that Class Counsel be deemed in in Contempt of Court's 10-30-08 Gag Order Based on Class Counsel's Unilatera Extrajudicial Communications Unrepresented Potential Jury Members and/or Class Members		10/28/1

	Order Granting Plaintins Motion in Editional Processing Processing Processing Testimony or Argument Suggesting that	COURT	11/2/11
	the Serum Dioxin Results of the Class Representatives and Others can be Extrapolated to this Case		
155.	Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of the June 28, 2011 Order Excluding the Opinions of Plaintiffs' Expert Witness, Robert J. Carr and Granting in Part Defendants' Combined Motion and Memo of Law Seeking Dispositive Relief as to All Claims of the Property Class	COURT	11/2/11
156.	Order that for Putnam county Circuit Clerk to draw 3,000 jurors	COURT	11/3/11
157.	List of jurors excused provided during 11/3/11 hearing	COURT	11/3/11
158.	Order Confirming Hearing Results	COURT	11/8/11
150	Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike and	COURT	11/8/11
159.	Defendants' Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony or Argument Suggesting that the Results of Dust Samples from 99 Select, Non-Randomized Properties Can be extrapolated.		
160.	Order Denying Plaintiffs Motion to Strike the Defendants' Motion to Exclude the Results of Class Counsel's Calux Testing and Defendants' Motion to Exclude the Results of Class Counsel's Calux Testing	3	11/9/11
161.	Order Denying In-part & Granting In-part Defendants' Motion to Exclude Opinions and Certain Evidence First Disclosed In Dr. Sawyer' May 6, 2011 Supplemental Report	S	11/15/13
162.	Oder Granting Defendant's Motion to Exclude Evidence Regarding Diseases Other Than the 1 Diseases for which Dr. Werntz Recommend Medical Monitoring	4	11/16/1


	Order Denying Motion to Quash Notices of	COURT	11/16/11
١.	video Deposition and Motion to Stay Discovery,		
1	On In The Alternative, Petition to File and Diff.		
1	Motion in Limine Nunc Pro Lunc, In Zina O.		
	Bibb, et al v., Monsanto Company, et al., Civil		
	Action No. 04-C-465		
	Supplemental Order re Briefs on Statute of	COURT	11/16/11
164.	Limitations due and Plaintiffs' Witness List due		
	Order Granting Defendant's Motion in Limine to	COURT	11/16/11
165.	Preclude Evidence and Argument that Asserts or		
	Implies that Defendants had a Duty to Create and		
	Maintain Records regarding the Quantity of		
	Disposition of Waste from the 2, 4, and 5-T		
	Production Process		
	Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine to	COURT	11/17/11
166.	preclude Evidence of Medical Monitoring		
	Expenses of Those Diseases for Which Plaintiffs		
	have not established a viable claim pursuant to		
	Bower v. Westinghouse Electric Corp.	·	
			11/17/11
167.	Order Denying Motion to Quash Notices of	COURT	11/1//11
107.	Wides Deposition and Motion to Stay Discovery,		
	Or In The Alternative, Petition to File and Dilei		
	Motion in Limine Nunc Pro Lunc, In Lina U.		
	Dish et al y Monsanto Company, et al., Civil		
	Action No. 04-C-465 As It Relates to the Cancer	'	
	Cases		
1.60	Order Requiring Additional Mediation or	COURT	12/2/11
168.	Order Requiring Additional Mediation of December 27, 2011		
	December 27, 2011		12/5/11
169.	Order Setting Agenda	COURT	12/3/11
		COURT	12/6/11
170.	Order regarding December 27, 2011 Mediation		
171.	Second Order Regarding Mediation	COURT	12/13/11
	Order Confirming 12-9-11 Hearing	COURT	12/19/1
172.			10/00/1
	Order denying Courtroom View Network access	COURT	12/20/1


174.	Order Concerning Court's Critician	COURT	12/21/11
	Notification Website		12/21/11
175.	Order denying Defendants' renewed motion for summary judgment, motion to decertify the medical monitoring class and alternative motion to amend the definition of the medical monitoring class	COURT	12/21/11
176.	Order denying defendants motion for summary judgment on class members claims for medical monitoring who fall outside of Sawyer dose groups and defendants motion for partial summary judgment on class members claims for medical monitoring whose exposure occurred outside certain geographic areas	COURT	12/21/11
177.	Scheduling Order and Order stating motion to withdraw the motion to hold in abeyance has been withdrawn	SUPREME COURT	12/22/11
178.	Suppl. Order Confirming Hearing Results from 12-9-11 Concerning Trespass	COURT	1/4/12
179.	Order re plfs. Emergency motion for clarification	COURT	1/6/12
180.	Order Allowing Pooled Photo and Video Coverage	COURT	1/6/12
181.	Order denying plaintiffs motion in limine to include evidence of additional diseases in light of this court's ruling permitting nuisance and trespass instructions	COURT	1/12/12
182.	Scheduling Order	SUPREME COURT	1/18/12
183.	Agreed Order filed Under Seal Conditionally Vacating November 3, 2011 Order Decertifying the Property Class	COURT	1/25/12
184.	Order adjourning trial proceedings	COURT	1/24/12
185.	Order granting motion for stay until 2-9-12	SUPREME COURT	1/26/12
186.	Order Sealing Jurors Information	COURT	1/26/12


	Amended Scheduling Order re: petition for writ	SUPREME COURT	2/2/12
		COURT	2/9/12
	Order Setting Schedule	SUPREME COURT	2/9/12
189.	Order granting motion to withdraw petition for writ of prohibition	SOI ICIME CO CI	
190.	Order Changing Schedule	COURT	2/13/12
191.	Order relaxing gag order for purpose of parties	COURT	2/24/12
	joint press release		2/24/12
192.	Order Sealing motion to permit discovery regarding fairness and adequacy of proposed class settlement	1	
193.	Order preliminarily approving class settlements	COURT	2/24/12
	Order regarding payment of costs of mediation	COURT	2/29/12
194.	Order Confirming Hearing from 2-28-12	COURT	3/1/12
195.		COURT	3/2/12
196.	Order transferring cancer cases to Judge Swope		3/2/12
197.	Order granting motion to hold matter in abeyance		3/12/12
198.	Order denying motion to conduct hearing regarding certification of conditional proper class	g COURT	
199.	Order granting limited discovery as to the fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of the proposed settlement	ne COURT	3/19/12
200	La Port motion to lift gag order	to COURT	3/27/12
201	Change advising Cou	rt's COURT	7/6/12



EXHIBIT









Sampling Plan for the Monsanto Dioxin Site in Nitro, West Virginia

Prepared For: The Calwell Practice, PLLC

Ву

George C. Flowers, Ph.D.

May 11, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	. 1
SCOPE OF WORK	
Dust Sample Collection	
Sample Analysis	. 2
Sample Documentation and Sample Management	. 3
Health and Safety Plan	
Project Personnel	. 4
REFERENCES	. 4

TABLES

FIGURES

ATTACHMENTS

INTRODUCTION

The sampling plan was generated at the request of Stuart Calwell, Esq., of the Calwell Practice, PLLC. A total 250 and 450 potential sampling sites for 70 soil and 100 living-area dust samples, respectively, were generated within the Class Area. In the case of soil sampling stations, the Sampling Design Tool for ArcGIS developed by the NOAA Center for Coastal Monitoring and Assessment (CCMA) was used to generate UTM NAD83 Zone 17N coordinates using a simplified, random design. For dust samples in residences, potential sampling stations were chosen by first selecting residences within the perimeter of Zone 2 of the Class Area as defined by Dr. Kirk Brown in his 2008 affidavit in the Putnam County Civil Action No. 04-C-465, Virdie Allen et al. v. Monsanto Company, et al. A subset of 350 residences distributed within Brown's Zones 2, 3, and 4 was drawn from this selection. The sampling protocol for soils and living-area dust samples described below will use this pool of prospective sampling sites. Sampling sites used in generating soil and dust samples will be chosen based on accessibility in consultation with Dr. George C. Flowers and Ms. Kim Hosea of Carpenter Environmental Associates (CEA).

SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work for this sampling event includes collection and analysis of 70 surficial soil samples and 100 living-area bulk dust samples for dioxin-like compounds using EPA Method 4435, entitled "Method for Toxic Equivalents (TEQs) Determinations for Dioxin-Like Chemical Activity with the CALUX Bioassay." Sample processing procedures in Method 4435 and an affinity column will be used to separate polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) from chlorinated dioxins and dibenzofurans to evaluate the total TEQ associated with the dioxin.

SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Soil Sample Collection

Soil samples will be collected in accordance with Attachment A — "Tencon, Inc., Soil Sampling Protocol of Dioxin in the Nitro, West Virginia, and Surrounding Area." At least one gram of sample is required to meet the detection limit specified by The Calwell Group's toxicologist of 10 parts per trillion. A minimum of 20 grams of sample from the top 2 cm of soil will be collected from 70 of the 250 locations in the Class Area as shown on Figure 1 at or near the coordinates provided in Table 1. The 20 grams of soil will be split into two sample jars and one sample jar provided to Monsanto's representative. All samples will be analyzed by EPA Method 4435 for dioxin.

In the event that a sampling location is inaccessible and/or unsafe, the sample location may be moved or abandoned, and substitute locations sampled. Conditions that will require sample relocation include but are not limited to the following:

- o The presence of any unique topographic or other physical features at the sampling location, such as the presence of a steep hillside or cliff, a lake or pond, concrete or asphalt paving, barbed wire or electrified fences, etc.
- o Any specific physical hazard such as the presence of vicious animals, poisonous plants, or unsafe physical location issues, etc.
- Any location where there is no prior agreement by the owner or occupant to allow entry or where the owner or occupant refuses entry, or exhibits aggressive or otherwise threatening behavior.

The change in location and coordinates of the true sample location will be documented in the field log books.

Dust Sample Collection

Bulk dust samples from living areas of 100 houses selected from the Class Area pool of 450 houses where 1) access is procured; and 2) sufficient dust volume is present to be collected. The sampling locations will be selected from the list of addresses/locations shown on Figure 2 and given in Table 2. As required by field conditions and access constraints, sample locations may be moved or abandoned and substitute locations sampled. If a given home is inaccessible or lacks sufficient sample, a nearby home in the sample pool will be sampled.

The living-area, bulk dust samples will be collected in accordance with Attachment B—
"Tencon, Inc., Procedure of Collection of Split Indoor Dust Samples from Household Surfaces for Dioxin Analysis Using and Omega Vacuum Cleaner." A handheld vacuum sampler will be used to collect sample mass in the duplicate nozzle/cartridge assemblies from representative areas containing settled dust throughout the study homes. Surfaces to be sampled include tops of curtains, window ledges, doorjambs, ceiling fans, and air filters. These surfaces represent areas where suspended particles will be deposited from ambient living area air. Recoverable sample material includes dust and particulate matter having cross-sectional dimensions of approximately 0.3 micrometers (lam) and larger.

Two sample masses will be collected in two unique nozzle/cartridge assemblies. One sample nozzle/cartridge will be sealed, stored in foil in a nonconductive plastic bag, logged in the field log, placed in a controlled container, and subsequently provided to a representative of the Monsanto party. The second sample cartridge will be sealed, stored in foil in a non-conductive plastic bag, logged in the field log, placed in a controlled container and subsequently shipped to Zenobiotic Detection Systems in North Carolina, USA for analysis utilizing EPA Method 4435 with a minimum target detection level of 10 parts per trillion (ppt).

Sample Analysis

Soil and dust samples will be submitted to Zenobiotic Systems located in Durham, North Carolina, to determine dioxin TEQs using EPA Method 4435, "Method for Toxic Equivalents

(TEQs) Determinations for Dioxin-Like Chemical Activity with the CALUX Bioassay." Method 4435 sample processing procedures and the use of an affinity column will be employed so that polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) will separated from the chlorinated dioxins and dibenzofurans to determine the TEQ associated with dioxin.

Sample Documentation and Sample Management

For each sample collected, the following will be recorded in the official field logbook:

- Sample collection date and time
- o Sample location (as specific as possible)
- o The unique sample number, size, and container(s) used
- o Sample description
- o Weather conditions (if applicable)
- o Any additional comments

After each sample is collected, sampling personnel will complete the laboratory-supplied label using a waterproof pen. The sample container will be placed in a resealable plastic bag, and stored in a container prior to shipping. Method 4435 does not require any preservative for non-perishable media, such as soil and dust.

A record must be kept of the sample's progress from the sample site to the laboratory where it will be analyzed as documented by the chain-of-custody. A laboratory-supplied chain-of-custody form must be filled out using waterproof ink. Corrections are made by drawing a line through the error, initialing this deletion, and then entering the correct information.

The chain-of-custody form must include:

- o The sample number
- o The sampler's name
- Sample collection date and time
- o Sample collection location
- o Sample description
- o Parameters being analyzed and preservatives used
- o Signatures of people involved in the chain of possession
- o Inclusive dates and times of possession of each person in the chain

When the sample is not in someone's direct possession, it must be under lock and key. The chain-of-custody form must accompany the sample throughout its trip to the laboratory. Each transfer of the sample(s) must be recorded on the form. The only exception is if the sample(s) must be shipped to a laboratory. Most shipping agents will refuse to sign or separately carry the chain-of-custody form. In this one case, it is permissible to enclose the chain-of-custody form with the sample for shipping. The chain-of-custody form is enclosed in plastic and taped to the inside of the cooler lid. The lid is secured to the cooler and custody seals are placed across the cooler openings. The recipient of the cooler, the laboratory's sample custodian, then attests to the cooler's integrity, and samples within it by signing and dating the chain-of-custody form after breaking the seals and opening the cooler. It then is the laboratory's responsibility to maintain

internal log books and records that provide a custody record throughout sample preparation and analysis.

Finally, on arrival at the laboratory, the sample custodian must enter the sample in the laboratory's sample logbook. The chain-of-custody record is kept on file at the laboratory.

Health and Safety Plan

A site-specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP) will be prepared in accordance with 20 CFR 1910.120 OSHA Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) and be accessible to all team members at each sampling location.

Project Personnel

The plaintiffs will be represented on site by Dr. George Flowers and Ms. Kim Hosea. Dr. Flowers is responsible for development and direction of the sampling program, including interpretation of analytical results. Ms. Hosea will serve as class counsel's representative during the sampling event and will be responsible for contractor supervision and field decisions as to sampling locations in consultation with Dr. Flowers.

REFERENCES

Brown, Kirk W., 2008, Affidavit in the matter of Virdie Allen et al. versus Monsanto Company, et al.: Putnam County Civil Action No. 04-C-465, Figure 5, p. 14.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Sampling Tool for ArcGIS,

http://ccmaserver.nos.noaa.gov/products/biogeography/sampling/welcome.ht ml

Tencon, Inc., Soil Sampling Protocol of Dioxin in the Nitro, West Virginia and Surrounding Area.

Tencon, Inc., Procedure of Collection of Split Indoor Dust Samples from Household Surfaces for Dioxin Analysis Using and Omega Vacuum Cleaner.

FIGURES




Figure 1. Potential soil sampling locations within the class area. UTM coordinates for each location, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, are given in Table 1.




Figure 2. Potential living space dust sampling locations within the class area. UTM coordinates for each location, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, are given in Table 2.

TABLES

							7	
L	ocation		MX		_	<u>V Y</u>		
	1		1044			0338		
	2		5529	_		5590	-	
	3		3204			198		
	4		<u>6743</u>	_	_	275		
	5		7776			044		
	6		8157			063		
	7	42	6675	_		942		
	88		7869	_	_	521		
	9		5186	-	_	447	_	
	10		4648	_		690		
	11	_	33870			819		
L	12	_	29272			221		
	13	_	20063	-		<u> 5441</u>		
L	14		<u> 28722</u>	_		519		
L	15		36239	_		658		
	16	_	31801	-	-	585		
	17		31408	-	_	<u>620</u>		
Г	18	14	36305	1		<u>611</u>		
Γ	19	4	32252			680		
Γ	20	14	2909	<u>'</u>		679		
Γ	21	4	2336	2		621		
Γ	22	1	13528	7		2570		
Γ	23	7	12215	0		2597		l
T	24	T	13293	9	47	2554	109	1
T	25	1	43282	0	4:	2652	296	1
ı	26	T	41953	6	4	249	361	1
r	27	T	41962	7	4	<u> 250</u>	746	1
t	28	T	42405	9	4	<u> 250</u>	477	1
t	29	\neg	43130	16	4	<u> 250</u>	108	1
t	30	Т	42716	52	4	<u> 265</u>	<u> 265</u>	1
	31	Т	4377	36	4	259	<u> 304</u>	4
1	32	T	4274		4	254	250	4
	33	T	4246	25		_	905	_
	34	\neg	4333	41	4	269	225	4
	35		4276	65	14	252	296	Ц
	36		4294	89	1	125	675	Ц
	37		4334				1094	
	38		4355	44	1	426	5614	
	39		4214		1		007	
	40		4315	17			390	
	41		4234	107		426	009	0
	42		4279				710	
	43		431			425	729	4
	44		430			424	998	7
	45		425	837	7]	425	819	5
	46		428				699	
	47		433			425	672	5
	48		421			42	5169	9
	49		423			42	5883	35
	50		436				625:	

Table 1. UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential soil sampling locations shown in Figure 1.

							٦	
L	ocation		MΧ			MY	┥	
	51	_	3296	_	_	<u>0955</u>	-	
	52		3870	_	-	9174	-	
L	53	_	2392		_	1486	_	
	54		8915	-	_	9744		
	55		2245	-	_	7847		
	56		9848	+		6045	_	
	57		5544	-		2535	_	
L	58		9738	+	-	50310	_	
	59		5642	+-	-	57451		
L	60		3618		_	54408		
L	61		29749			5377		
	62		24014	_		5247		
	63	_	<u> 27190</u>	-		5014		
	64	4:	<u> 30859</u>	_		<u>5898</u>	-	
	65	-	31754		-	5755		
Γ	66	-	<u> 27386</u>	-		6398	_	
Γ	67	_	<u> 25535</u>	-	_	6192	_	
	68	4	18565	Ц		25253		
Γ	69	4	31909		_	<u> 26266</u>		
	70	4	19568	3	4:	<u> 25529</u>	34	
٢	71	4	2210	2		25527		
T	72	1	13171	7		2547		
r	73	14	13695	9		<u> 2584</u>		
r	74	1	12680	8		<u> 2580</u>		
T	75	1	4 <u>2661</u>	6		<u> 2556</u>		
ı	76	7	42253	4	4	2561	53	
ı	77		42934	1	4	2652	41	
t	78	\top	42172	1		2522		l
l	79	T	42469	14		12599		
	80	Т	42007	12	4	12524	140	1
	81	\neg	42438	39	4	12618	393	1
	82	\neg	4199	79	1	4256	346	1
	83		4206	B7	L	4249	518	1
	84	7	4312	81		4251	879	1
	85		4376		I	4263	500	1
	86	-1	4185		T	4251	<u>663</u>	1
	87	\neg	4254	50	T	4263	121	_
	88		4202			4258		
	89		4213		- 1	4253	541	4
	90		4250		\neg	4251		
	91		432			4260	<u> 5241</u>	_
	92		432		1	425		
	93		429		_	425	0302	<u>.</u>
	94		430	31	3	426	_	_
	95		419	82	5		8219	
	96		428				960	
	97		431	67	8		372	
	98		435	41	1		580	
	99		431				957	
	100)	432	242	8	425	112	3

Table 1 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential soil sampling locations shown in Figure 1.

				_			-		
Locat	ion	_	rm x	_	-	M,			
10	1		7429		_	03			
10			1923	-		09			
10			3788	-		32			
10			1868	-	_	<u> </u>			
10	-	_	3365	-	_	316			
10			23847	-		502			
10	7		L7769	+		540			
10			21051	-		<u>594</u>			
10)9	-	27880	_		<u> 495</u>		1	
11	LO	_	<u> 27854</u>	_		<u>519</u>		┨	
13	11	-	24300	-	_	<u>617</u>		1	
	12	_	<u> 20814</u>			<u>508</u>		-	
1	13	4	<u> 27753</u>			648		4	
1	14	4	<u> 19329</u>	4		557		4	
1	15	4	24219	Ц.	42	554	193	_	
1	16	4	38356	1	42	610	066	1	
1	17	4	29485	Ц			<u> 338</u>	4	
1	18	4	33786	<u>i</u>			022	4	
1	19	4	127554	Ц	42	<u> 261</u>	<u>727</u>	4	
1	.20	1	130902	2	4;	252	<u> 137</u>	4	
1	21	1	118172	2	4	<u> 252</u>	<u> 279</u>	Ц.	
1	.22	L	42252	1	4	<u> 261</u>	024	4	
1	L23	1	42458	2	_		740	_	
	L24	T	43875	6	4	<u> 259</u>	215	1	
	125	1	43256	3	4	251	529	1	
	126	Т	42661	2	4	260	288	3	
	127	T	43552	1	4	257	783	1	
	128	Т	42983	3	4	26	284	5	
	129	Т	42308	5	4	25	219	2	
	130	T	43758	8	4	26	089	9	
	131	T	43867	15	1	125	<u>934</u>	1	
	132	7	42691	13	4	125	608	1	i
-	133	寸	43039	36	1	125	973	0	l
	134	7	42152	24	1	424	981	7	
	135	7	4300	41	T	426	121	4	
	136	7	4201	15	T	425	156	1	
<u> </u>	137		4221	79	Τ	425	076	9	J
	138		4294		_	426	683	30	
	139		4240	96	T	42	387	76	1
 	140		4209	80		42!	5010	54	1
-	141		4299	_	_	42	665	77	
<u> </u>	142		4294		. 1	42	657	35	
<u> </u>	143		4197				561		
<u> </u>	144		4318				632		
<u> </u>	145		4290				495		
<u> </u>	146		428			42	597	06	
-	147		419			42	556	68	
-	148		438			42	624	44	Ĺ
<u> </u>	149		432				2665		
<u> </u>	150	_	434			4:	2580)78	3
L			<u> </u>						

Table 1 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential soil sampling locations shown in Figure 1.

Location	UTM			MY	
151	43384			2488	
152	4340			6605	
153	4262			0220	
154	4304	77		0769	
155	4205	32		1392	
156	4238	28		4354	
157	4190	26		6818	
158	4315	59		4515	
159	4255	47		8600	1
160	4347	15		58 <u>994</u>	4
161	4184	118		55926	4
162	419			54102	4
163	423	594		<u>51366</u>	4
164	428	947		59054	4
165	426	531	_	60877	4
166	436	<u>455</u>		58712	4
167	430			63538	
168	430	965		<u> 51559</u>	
169	429	597	_	<u> 253541</u>	
170	432	428	_	<u> 254545</u>	
171	425	954		<u> 253248</u>	
172	435	445		<u> 256965</u>	
173	430	0976	4	<u> 263334</u>	<u>1</u>
174	43	3060	4	258289	2
175	43	2769		26680	
176	42	8935		26342	
177	43	319	5 4	25883	6
178	42	667	5 4	25884	6
179	43	191	9 4	126056	0
180	41	847	2 4	125613	0
181		3519	_	425586	4
182		2370	_	425173	37
183		2096	_	425326	50
184	4	2263	9	42583	57
185		2314		42569	82
186		299		42651	76
187		266		42516	
188		198		42523	
189	4	350	59	42584	55
190		1342		42622	
191		1321		42698	119
192		1289		42560)20
193		4290		42612	
194		4288		4265	
195		4316		4249	
196		4368		4261	
197		431		4266	
198		434		4266	
199		424		4261	
200		432		4261	463

Table 1 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential soil sampling locations shown in Figure 1.

				_			\neg	
Lo	cation		TM X			MY		
	201		9891	_		745	_	
	202		0725	+		445	_	
	203		7520	-		<u>538</u>		
	204		5691	4-		<u> 268</u>		
	205		6486	+		<u>631</u>		
	206	4:	<u> 12466</u>	-		<u>746</u>		
	207	_	32726			631		
	208	_	23175	-		568		
	209	1	<u> 32483</u>	+		500	_	
	210	4	<u> 25115</u>	_		899		
	211	_	<u> 19360</u>	_		153		
	212	4	<u>30454</u>	_	_	776	_	
	213		<u>34657</u>	_		<u> 28:</u>		
	214	4	<u> 30332</u>			924		
	215	4	26912			596		
	216	4	35367	4		636 ₄		
	217	4	36576		42	<u> 595</u>	66	
	218	4	24784	ı	42	<u>582</u>	79	
	219	1	29552	2	42	<u>557</u>	37	
	220	14	127633	3	42	<u>599</u>	12	
_	221	1	2044		42	513	23	
—	222	17	2204	7	42	533	81	
-	223	-	13498			622		
	224		42356	_		555		
-	225	-	42911	_	42	2553	129	1
-	226		42849		47	2531	140	1
H	227	-	43551	_	_	2596		1
-	228		43051	_	_	2670		7
一	229	-	42747		4:	2569	300	7
<u> </u>	230		43069		4	256	115	7
-	231	+	43587		-	261		7
H	232	+	43023		+		836	7
-	233	十	43885	_	-		712	7
H	234	+	43365		_		295	7
 -		+	43859		_		344	_
H	235 236	+	4214		_		140	¬
H		-	4299		+		564	_
H	<u>237</u> 238	\dashv	4352		-		610	_
-		-	4272		+-		332	_
H	239	-	4272		_		3063	\neg
ŀ	240	-	4300		_		5558	
	241		4239		_		1394	
-	242		4255				120	
ļ	243		4300	-			898	
}	244						567	
ļ	245		4282				264	
1	246		4213		_		128	
1	247		425		_		707	
	248		419				771	
	249		432		_		176	
	250		1 431		<u> </u>	-7 to u		-

Table 1 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential soil sampling locations shown in Figure 1.

	A dd-occ	City		UTM Y
Location	Address	Saint Albans		4250266
1	214 Swans Ln	Scott Depot		4258840
2	166 Ranch Lake Blvd	Charleston		4254796
3	5273 Bailey Rd	Poca		4257941
4	633 Dupont Rd	Saint Albans		4249183
5	213 High St	Nitro		4253531
6	211 Kensington Ct	Charleston		4253690
7	5120 Hopewell Dr	Scott Depot		4258129
8	141 Kilgore Rd	Saint Albans		4248632
9	154 Strawberry Rd	Nitro	430166	4252588
10	107 Brick Ln	Charleston	431791	4253956
11	5231 Pine Ter		433500	4254659
12	5319 Nelson Dr	Charleston	429903	4254125
13	216 Rockledge Dr	Nitro	427640	4249671
14		Nitro Saint Albans	426872	4248680
15	63 9th Ave		431878	4252758
16	5009 Saint Patrick Cir	Charleston	426445	4260694
17	13 Christy Ln	Winfield	432420	4254437
18	5305 Linda Vista Dr	Charleston	431081	4256442
19		Poca	432345	4254199
20		Charleston	422944	4258726
21		Scott Depot	426044	4262045
27		Winfield	425475	4250838
23	The state of the Acres All	Saint Albans	431327	4252733
2	The state of the s	Charleston		
2		Nitro	426184	
2	10.	Charleston	433222	4262046
2		Winfield	426048	
2		Nitro	427436	4248877
		Saint Albans	424767	
		Charleston	431964	
		Scott Depot	421016	
	A P-2-	Scott Depot	422728	
		Winfield	426176	
		Winfield	425538	
	1920 Winfield Rd	Nitro	426274	
	35 406 Dupont Ave	Scott Depot	420589	
	36 120 Scott Acres	Saint Albans	425608	
	500 Fairview Dr	Saint Albans	426316	
	38 319 Rust St	Scott Depot	422718	
	39 143 Rocky Step Rd	Nitro	42699	
	40 1234 Main Ave	Saint Albans	42532	
	41 120 Virginia Ave	Charleston	43280	
	42 4942 Dempsey Dr	Scott Depot	42553	
	43 205 Cedar Cir	Nitro	42756	
	44 1519 Fenton Cir	Scott Depot	42469	
	45 211 Greenbrier Dr	Nitro	42796	7 424972
	46 727 1st Ave S	Nitro	42640	3 425268
	47 1603d 16th St	Scott Depot	42540	
	48 4934 Teays Valley Rd	Saint Albans	42573	
	49 125 James St	Saint Albans	42701	
	50 453 2nd St	Saint Ainais		

Table 2. UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential interior dust sampling locations shown in Figure 2; addresses for sampling candidates are also given.

Location	Address	City	UTM X	UTM Y
51	209 Broadway Ave	Nitro		4252172
52	31 Evergreen Cir	Poca		4257252
	111 Maryland Ave N Apt D	Saint Albans	425654	4250413
53	2 Perkins Way	Charleston	432049	4253244
54	105 Kanawha Ave	Nitro	426051	4251511
55	206 Teays Villa	Scott Depot	421548	4256471
56		Saint Albans	425423	4249127
57	407 Dupont St 706 Poinsetta Dr	Scott Depot	420641	4256323
58		Nitro	429723	4253973
59	104 Brookhaven Cir	Scott Depot	422517	4255029
60	1 Colonial Oaks	Nitro	426115	4252905
61	1600 Park Ave Apt 10	Saint Albans	425665	4251502
62	111 Charleston St	Saint Albans	427139	4249092
63	322 5th Ave	Charleston	432268	4253453
64	5335 Shadowbrook Rd		421356	4256062
65	113 Hedrick Rd	Scott Depot	425401	4248839
66	280 Coal River Rd	Saint Albans	424888	4256213
67	114 Greenbrier Dr	Scott Depot	428861	4249394
68	701 Kanawha Ave S	Nitro	424910	4255000
69		Scott Depot	426291	4262186
70	138 Shawnee Est	Winfield	427564	4254723
71		Nitro	422269	4256392
72		Scott Depot		4252056
73		Cross Lanes	431410	
74		Winfield	426299	
75		Charleston	433183	
76		Charleston	432947	
77		Winfield	426648	
78		Winfield	426672	
79		Scott Depot	425031	
	11	Poca	430144	
80		Scott Depot	424790	
8:		Nitro	430184	
83		Cross Lanes	430741	
8	3 119 Circle Dr	Scott Depot	423537	
8		Charleston	432432	
	5 5305 Lanham Dr	Charleston	432108	
	6 5375 Big Tyler Rd Apt 826	Nitro	426503	
	7 1429 14th St	Charleston	430923	425270
	8 332 Goff Mountain Rd	Scott Depot	42340	425652
	9 106 Mccloud Rd	Nitro	42981	9 425426
	0 225 Brookhaven Dr	Nitro	42631	4 425079
9	1 908 Kanawha Ave		42978	1 425325
Ç	105 Maplewood Dr	Nitro	43033	
9	3 103 Orchard Dr	Nitro	42807	
9	94 114 Eastwood Acres	Nitro	43254	
	5336 Westbrook Dr	Cross Lanes	42129	
	96 102 Hedrick Rd	Scott Depot	43286	
	97 5261 Bailey Rd	Charleston	42594	
	98 406 Fairview Dr	Saint Albans	42334	
	99 204 Brooke Ln	Nitro	42873	
	00 14b Red Oak Dr	Nitro	42934	1

Table 2 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential interior dust sampling locations shown in Figure 2; addresses for sampling candidates are also given.

— Т	a dd	City	UTM X	UTM Y
Location	Address	Nitro	426427	4252319
101	1031 10th St	Saint Albans	426708	4249781
102	29 B St	Nitro	426184	4252397
103	1101 Park Ave	Winfield	426588	4258575
104	590 Bills Creek Rd	Saint Albans	425242	4251117
105	105 Kentucky Ave	Saint Albans	425624	4248714
106	111 Lincoln Dr	Winfield	426898	4259016
107	242 Bills Creek Rd	Scott Depot	421023	4255586
108	224 Bent Tree Ests	Nitro	426253	4251376
109	306 Dupont Ave	Nitro	426648	4250689
110	1101 Main Ave Apt 3	Poca	429500	4257129
111		Scott Depot	420722	4255881
112	106 Dawn Hts	Nitro	426138	4251200
113	412 Kanawha Ave	Nitro	428797	4253792
114	38 Shadow His	Poca	433764	4257200
115	1494 Poca River Rd S	Nitro	426148	
116	1327 Park Ave	Charleston	430552	4252694
117	3 Miller Ln	Scott Depot	422890	4257263
118	121 Rocky Step Rd	Saint Albans	425675	4248282
119	1 Kincaid Est		427969	
120	729 1st Ave S	Nitro	432030	4254113
12	5214 Nesting Way	Charleston	42145	
12		Scott Depot	42760	
12		Nitro	42402	
12		Winfield	42551	
12		Saint Albans	42676	
12		Saint Albans	42696	
12		Nitro	42688	
	8 303c Walker St	Nitro	42618	
	29 26 Amandaville Ct	Saint Albans	42520	
1	30 1371 Bills Creek Rd	Winfield	4284	
	31 4 Marshall Rd	Nitro	4326	
	32 4921 Dempsey Dr	Charleston	4272	
	33 109 Hillside Dr	Nitro	4276	
	34 222 Easter Rd	Nitro	4267	
	35 909 S B St	Saint Albans	4261	
	36 274 Oliver St	Saint Albans	4312	
	37 5429 Tanglewood Rd	Cross Lanes	4274	
	138 206 Hillside Dr	Nitro	427	
	39 50 Bailes Dr	Nitro	430	,,,,
	140 39 Stewart Park Apt B	Cross Lanes	421	
	141 108 Sun Valley Ests	Scott Depot	431	
	142 516b New Goff Mountain	Rd Charleston	431	
	143 44 Old County Rd	NRTO		227 425795
	143 44 Old County 144 119 Kilgore Rd	Scott Depot		627 425495
	145 133a Joyce Rd	Scott Depot		188 425442
		Cross Lanes		595 425141
		Saint Albans	423	122 425248
-		Nitro		252 425292
	The state of the s	Charleston		1541 425200
1	149 820 Cross Lanes Dr 150 19 Cedar View Dr	Charleston	43.	1747 1 47770

Table 2 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential interior dust sampling locations shown in Figure 2; addresses for sampling candidates are also given.

<u> </u>	. Iduar	Т	City	UTMX	UTM Y
ocation	Address	Winfi		426161	4261898
	hawnee Est		Albans	425868	4248701
	airview Dr	Nitro		426867	4253908
	37th St	Poca		426815	4262002
154 12 Ed	gewater Dr	Nitro		428227	4254268
155 129 C	ak Tree Ln		Albans	425688	4250346
156 13 Sv	vans Ct		leston	432417	4255512
	Brookview Dr	Poca		428635	4256320
	arlet His	Nitro		428027	4253689
159 101 E	aster Rd		s Lanes	432939	4254480
	Wedgebrook Ln	Nitr		428275	
	er Vista Villa		tt Depot	421001	4255652
	Bent Tree Ests		nt Albans	424301	4250740
163 475t	Simms St	Nitr		426433	
	19th St	Niti		428748	
	Woodland Dr		nfield	424736	
	Bills Creek Rd	Nit		426093	4251966
	Minor Ave		nt Albans	424494	
	randview Dr		arleston	432805	4255634
	O Bailey Rd		arleston	431124	4253324
	9 Pioneer Dr	Nit		426336	
	7th St		arleston	433385	
	5b Big Tyler Rd		arleston	431953	4253593
173 531	6 Pamela Cir		infield	42603	4262077
	0 Winfield Rd		tro	42649	
175 133	36 13th St		tro	42842	5 4255478
	Woodland Dr		int Albans	42414	7 4250198
177 630	Austin Dr		tro	42607	2 4251434
	6 Kanawha Ave		int Albans	42489	0 4250855
	litamont Ave		itro	42708	
	20 40th St		/infield	42466	
	Rebecca Dr		itro	42625	3 4252287
182 16	Log Gdns		cott Depot	42063	7 4256241
	3 Roseberry Dr		Cott Depot Vinfield	4240	9 426177
184 18	6 Blue Lick Rd			4294	
185 10)5 Burman Ave		oca cott Depot	4248	94 425621
186 11	13 Greenbrier Dr			4292	69 425691
	L Elizabeth St		Poca	4315	44 425431
188 2	Guy Ln		Charleston	4288	93 425482
189 1	14 Woodland Dr		Nitro Saint Albans	4253	
	9 3rd St W			4256	
191 2	12 High St		Saint Albans	4282	95 425502
192 1	39 Margaret Dr		Nitro	4264	
193 1	0 Bank St		Nitro	4262	
194 1	41 Shawnee Est		Winfield	426	
195	02 Kanawha Ave		Nitro	427	
196 9	7 31st St E		Nitro	424	
197	L34 Riverview Dr		Saint Albans	426	
198	203 Frederick St		Nitro		092 42499
199	1343 Valentine Cir		Nitro		426 42538
	103 Holley Dr		Nitro	1 72-5	

Table 2 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential interior dust sampling locations shown in Figure 2; addresses for sampling candidates are also given.

	6 dd acco	City		TM Y
ocation	Address	Saint Albans		249086
201	702 High St	Saint Albans	720-0	249672
202	1195 Rosedale Dr	Nitro		251140
203	638 Cross Lanes Dr	Charleston		252897
204	5201 Frederick Dr	Scott Depot		256193
205	161 Hedrick Rd	Saint Albans		248423
206	450 Barrett St	Winfield		258295
	950 Bills Creek Rd	Poca		256632
208	31 Chris Dr	Nitro		253805
	3973 39th St E	Charleston		253427
210		Nitro		252774
211		Nitro	7200	1254395
212	431 Circle Dr	Scott Depot		1257406
213		Poca	430386	4258065
214		Saint Albans	427129	4249091
215		Charleston	431996	4254879
216		Nitro	426626	4250600
217	5 Erwin Dr	Charleston	431722	4255177
218	5409 Tiffany Dr	Nitro		4249383
219	714 Michigan Ave	Charleston	433010	4254028
220	5293 Big Tyler Rd	Winfield	426659	4260361
221			427582	4249792
227	1507 Fenton Cir	Nitro	426156	4261909
22	3 222 Shawnee Est	Winfield	420945	4255859
22		Scott Depot	430410	4252470
22	5 141 Brick Ln	Charleston	425333	4255515
22	6 4923 Teays Valley Rd	Scott Depot	430859	4252920
22	7 173 Circle Dr	Charleston	426759	4253474
22	8 2912 29th St	Nitro	427392	4250095
	9 506 1st Ave S	Nitro	425773	4248951
23	0 332 Birch St	Saint Albans	424244	4256076
	1 110 Ches Wal Rd	Scott Depot	424941	4250939
	17 Valley View Ave	Saint Albans	425994	424888
	33 206 Fairview Dr	Saint Albans	426231	4258601
	49 Arrowhead Dr	Winfield	432425	4252388
2	35 5044 Bennington Dr	Charleston	430559	4252074
	36 127 Goff Mountain Rd	Charleston	426740	425354
	37 3007 30th St	Nitro	431152	425301
	38 5518 Big Tyler Rd	Charleston	431521	425318
	39 5118 Beechtree Cir	Charleston	431321	425886
	40 112 Dodd St	Poca	429291	425052
	41 1126 Benamati Ave	Nitro	433012	
	42 5234 Brackenrich Dr	Charleston	433012	
	43 5 Lake Ln	Nitro	427933	
	244 1335 Valentine Cir	Nitro	42/043	
	245 141c Scary Rd	Scott Depot		
	246 4640 Winfield Rd	Winfield	426508	
	247 1 Kanawha Ter Apt 708	Saint Albans	426672	
			426983	
		Saint Albans	425636	
	249 13 Clotine St 250 416 Blake Rd	Nitro	428130	42319

Table 2 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential interior dust sampling locations shown in Figure 2; addresses for sampling candidates are also given.

	Address	City		UTM Y
Location		Charleston		255907
251	5271 Claybank Rd	Cross Lanes	7027	253520
252	5328 Edgebrook Dr	Nitro		253027
253	2192 N 21st St	Saint Albans		250329
254	222 Robinson St	Poca		257694
255	145 Maple Dr	Poca		1259502
256	133 Pine Dr	Poca		1257090
257	7 Country Rd	Nitro		4252121
258		Saint Albans		4248382
259		Poca		4257796
260		Charleston		4252651
261	110 Cadle Dr	Nitro	7,000	4255430
262	5 Houston St	Nitro	7	4249524
263		Nitro	430126	4250660
264		Scott Depot	420727	4255946
265		Winfield	425783	4262733
266	2069 Winfield Rd	Poca	432158	4256223
267		Winfield	426313	4262067
268		Winfield	426433	4260595
269		Winfield	425781	4262737
270		Nitro	426595	4251016
27:		Saint Albans	425244	4251122
27		Scott Depot	422127	4258088
27		Charleston	432656	4251627
27		Winfield	426420	4260653
27	5 2767 Winfield Rd	Poca	429163	4259179
27	6 122 Laurel Ave	Poca	426795	4261996
27		Winfield	423114	4260538
27	8 102 Jericho Rd	Saint Albans	425150	4249135
27	9 109 Virginia St W	Saint Albans	425392	4250795
28	314 Kentucky Ave	Poca	431032	4256664
28	101 Kay Ave	Saint Albans	425030	4250951
28	32 14 Orchard Ave	Nitro	426104	4252595
28	33 1323 W 13th St	Charleston	433071	4255780
2	84 5240 Claybank Rd	Nitro	427258	4250012
2	85 102 Holly St	Saint Albans	426672	4248960
2	86 1 Kanawha Ter Apt 1005		426082	
2	87 1123 W 11th St	Nitro Cross Lanes	431979	425461
2	88 211 Shasta Dr		426281	425159
2	89 125 Main Ave	Nitro	426425	425196
2	90 1 Tim Mar Hts	Nitro Cross Lanes	431668	425377
2	91 5230 Arrowwood Dr	Cross Lanes	426601	
	92 2506 25th St	Nitro	431875	425700
	93 325 Country Rd	Poca	42807	424967
	294 201 Owens St	Nitro	428064	
	295 202 Kanawha Ave S	Nitro	42325	
	296 139 Pine Lake Dr	Scott Depot	42920	
	297 227 Jamestown Rd	Nitro	42652	
	298 1531 15th St	Nitro	43280	
	299 5305 Big Tyler Rd Apt 24	Charleston	42539	
	300 302 Kentucky Ave	Saint Albans		

Table 2 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential interior dust sampling locations shown in Figure 2; addresses for sampling candidates are also given.

ocation	Address	City	UTM X	UTM Y
301	571 W Maccorkle Ave Apt 118	Saint Albans		4250287
	416 Dupont St	Saint Albans		4249107
	518 W Main St	Saint Albans		4250173
	106 5th Ave	Saint Albans	426913	4249168
	30 Brandy Ln	Poca	429727	4260046 4254239
	2 Monta Vista Pl	Charleston	433413	
307	88 Lake Shore Dr	Cross Lanes	431718	4251946
308	5007 Tipperary St	Charleston	431750	4252972
309	5234 Glow Dr	Charleston	431868	4254037
310	3956 39th St E	Nitro	427498	4253812 4253139
311	2438 3rd Ave	Nitro	426854	4255133
312	113 Belle Acres	Scott Depot	421359	4254030
313	5337 Glow Dr	Charleston	431597	4254030
314	3006 30th St	Nitro	426739	4262032
315	565 Blue Lick Rd	Winfield	423944	
316	1201 Fairfax Ln	Charleston	432512	4252469
317	911 Pennsylvania Ave Apt 1	Saint Albans	426938	4248770
318	5009 Frederick Dr	Charleston	431613	4252979
319	225 Stewart Acres	Winfield	426666	4260367
320	201 Greenbrier Dr	Scott Depot	424696	4256005
321	1360 Valentine Cir	Nitro	427030	4250105
322	15 Saunders St	Scott Depot	420889	4255994
323		Saint Albans	426907	4249618
323 324		Saint Albans	422912	4250040
	546 W Maccorkle Ave	Saint Albans	422766	
325		Saint Albans	422785	
326		Saint Albans	421411	4250270
327		Scott Depot	421533	
328		Scott Depot	422275	
329		Scott Depot	421049	
330		Scott Depot	421111	
331		Scott Depot	421510	
332	- •	Scott Depot	421947	
333		Scott Depot	421243	
334		Scott Depot	421185	
335		Scott Depot	420675	
336		Scott Depot	421474	
337		Scott Depot	420415	
338		Scott Depot	422559	
339		Scott Depot	42122	
34		Scott Depot	42138	
34	1 424 Popiar Fork Rd	Scott Depot	42141	
34	11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	Scott Depot	42102	
34	Toronto Del	Scott Depot	42159	
34	4 110 Woodbine Farins No	Scott Depot	42124	
34		Scott Depot	42048	
34	6 342 Poplar Fork Rd	Scott Depot	42105	3 42528
	7 303 Poplar Ests	Scott Depot	42129	2 42519
	419 Poplar Fork Rd	Scott Depot	42078	5 42530
3/	364 Poplar Fork Rd 460 Poplar Fork Rd	Scott Depot	42157	

Table 2 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential interior dust sampling locations shown in Figure 2; addresses for sampling candidates are also given.

ocation	Address	City	UTM X	UTM Y
351	2270 Bills Creek Rd	Winfield		4257031
352	155 Pine Dr	Poca		4259622
353	5301 Lisa Ct Apt 1006	Charleston	432259	4253851
354	30 Presidio Pointe	Cross Lanes	431646	4252803
355	208 Kentucky Ave	Saint Albans	425320	4250955
356	19 Perkins Way	Charleston	431868	4253056
357	106 Kanawha Ave	Nitro	426054	4251510
358	106 6th St N	Saint Albans	425621	4250426
359	5240 Linda Vista Dr	Charleston	432387	4254319
360	607 Kanawha Ave	Nitro	426262	4250897
361	212k Woodland Dr	Nitro	428759	4255074
	5400 Big Tyler Rd Apt 6307	Charleston	431856	4253861
362	122 Orchard Dr	Nitro	430348	4253124
363		Charleston	432544	4253977
364	5304 Westbrook Dr	Nitro	426314	4252240
365	802 8th St	Poca	431330	4257546
366	484 Dupont Rd	Charleston	433412	4254041
367	5247 Big Tyler Rd	Charleston	433217	4254469
368	5215 Swiss Dr		431474	4251085
369	218 Majestic Dr	Charleston	431444	4253422
370		Cross Lanes	420876	4255952
371	9 Cloverdale Acres	Scott Depot	432918	4253532
372		Charleston		4255731
373	118 Poplar Dr	Scott Depot	425028	4254879
374	5311 April Cir	Cross Lanes	432842	4254873
375	264 Oliver St	Saint Albans	426127	
376	3806 38th St	Nitro	426873	4253962 4254739
377	105 Carriage Way	Nitro	429092	423473
378	116 Gallatin St	Saint Albans	426252	425894
379	214 Rocky Step Rd	Scott Depot	422639	425903
380	220a Rocky Step Rd	Scott Depot	422609	
381		Nitro	426959	425005
382	4500 1st Ave Trlr 15	Nitro	428217	425560
383		Nitro	427043	425040
384		Nitro	426432	425091
385		Saint Albans	425657	424847
386		Saint Albans	425385	425074
387		Charleston	433186	
388		Saint Albans	425430	424915
389		Poca	432261	425613
390		Scott Depot	420587	425534
39:		Nitro	426792	425059
39:		Charleston	431424	
39		Poca	429457	
		Nitro	428076	425415
39		Nitro	426237	
39		Charleston	433117	
39	_	Winfield	426095	
39		Scott Depot	421593	
	R TOO MOODDING LAITIN VO			
39 39		Nitro	426690	425063

Table 2 (cont.). UTM coordinates, consistent with the NAD83 Zone 17N datum, for potential interior dust sampling locations shown in Figure 2; addresses for sampling candidates are also given.